



Alfalfa Workshop and Field Day

May 1: Calhoun Co. | May 8: Bacon Co. | May 9: Tift Co. | May 10: Irwin Co.

9:00 am Sign-in & Pick up Notebooks

9:30 Welcome, Introductions, and Why Alfalfa?

Dr. Dennis Hancock, UGA Professor and Forage Extension Specialist

9:40 Site Selection and Establishment

Dr. Jennifer Tucker, UGA Asst. Professor, Animal and Dairy Sciences

10:10 Improving Animal Performance with Alfalfa

Dr. Kim Mullenix, Auburn University Asst. Professor and Beef Extension Specialist

Dr. Jennifer Tucker, UGA Asst. Professor, Animal and Dairy Sciences

10:40 Managing Alfalfa for Persistence

Dr. Dennis Hancock, UGA Professor and Forage Extension Specialist

11:00 Alfalfa Breeding Program

Dr. Joe Bouton, UGA Professor Emeritus, Forage Breeding

Dr. Ali Missaoui, UGA Asst. Professor, Forage Breeding

11:30 Research Updates and Hot Topics Discussion

Moderated by Dr. Jennifer Tucker

University of Georgia and Auburn University Graduate Students

12:00 Lunch






1:00 Field Visit and Discussion




Welcome and Why Alfalfa?

Dr. Dennis Hancock, UGA Professor and
Forage Extension Specialist




Alfalfa		
Adaptation	Well-drained soils, if soil is fertile and management is good. Best if irrigated.	
Lifespan	4-7 years in LVM & Pied; 3-5 years in Coastal Plain	
Yield	4-6 tons/A (dry); 5-8 tons/A (irrigated)	
Soil Considerations	Soil pH \geq 6.5 (4-6 in. depth) Subsoil pH \geq 5.5 (down to 4 ft.) Well-drained, deep, and fertile	
Bloat Potential	High	
Uses	1) Hay, 2) Silage, 3) Grazing	
Establishment	Drill: 20-25 lbs/A Broadcast: 22-25 lbs/A	
Varieties	Alfagraze 600 RR (S), Bulldog 505 (S), Bulldog 805 (CP)	
Comments	Very valuable (\$140-200++ per ton). Highest quality forage.	





Site Selection and Establishment

Dr. Jennifer Tucker, UGA Asst. Professor,
Animal and Dairy Sciences




ALFALFA IN THE SOUTH: SITE SELECTION AND ESTABLISHMENT

Jennifer J. Tucker, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor
Department of Animal and Dairy Sciences
University of Georgia – Tifton


Alfalfa




Adaptation	Well-drained soils, if soil is fertile and management is good. Best if irrigated.
Lifespan	4-7 years in LVM & Pied; 3-5 years in Coastal Plain
Yield	4-6 tons/A (dry); 5-8 tons/A (irrigated)
Soil Considerations	Soil pH \geq 6.5 (4-6 in. depth) Subsoil pH \geq 5.5 (down to 4 ft.) Well-drained, deep, and fertile
Bloat Potential	High
Uses	1) Hay, 2) Silage, 3) Grazing
Establishment	Drill: 20-25 lbs/A Broadcast: 22-25 lbs/A
Varieties	Alfagraze 600 RR (S), Bulldog 505 (S), Bulldog 805 (CP)
Comments	Very valuable (\$140-200 ⁺⁺ per ton). Highest quality forage.



Courtesy of D. Hancock

WHAT ABOUT INTERSEEDING?



Adding Legumes to Grass Improves Forage Quality!






Forage Quality Parameters for Selected Forage Crops					
Crop	Maturity	CP	TDN	NDF	ADF
Bermudagrass	4 weeks old	10-12	52-58	33-38	63-68
	8 weeks old	6-8	45-50	40-45	70-75
Alfalfa	Bud	22-26	64-67	28-32	38-47
	Early Flower	18-22	64-64	32-36	42-50
	Mid Bloom	14-18	58-61	36-40	46-55
	Full Bloom	9-13	50-57	41-43	56-60

Source: Adapted from J.C. Henning and G.D. Lacefield, University of Kentucky



Why Interseed Alfalfa into Bermudagrass?

1. Grow your own nitrogen
2. Increase the quality of your forage (+30 or more RFQ points)
3. Makes excellent supplemental feed and/or cash hay crop
4. Growing with bermudagrass allows alfalfa to dry faster and be harvested clean
5. Prevents stem maggot damage.
6. If all else fails, you still have bermudagrass.




Courtesy of D. Hancock

A winning combination:










HOW TO'S AND WHAT NOT'S



Where to Start:

- Soil Test
- SOIL Test
- SOIL TEST!!
- Target pH 6.5 or greater
- Subsoil (1 ft depth) pH should be greater than 5.5
- High P and High K
- Don't forget the Micronutrients!
 - Mo and B



Manage weeds during establishment!

- Control what you can when you can!
- Determine if treatment is warranted
- If using RR technology, Glyphosate is your friend!
- If conventional establishment – there are options – however they may damage alfalfa for a period of time
- Even harder in a mix!
- After established – pre-emergent technologies are warranted!

UGA extension

Avoid Areas Treated with Residual Herbicides

- Aminopyralid (GrazonNext, Chaparral, etc.) or picloram (Grazon P+D, Surmount, Tordon, etc.) requires bioassay
 - Delay planting until at least 10-12 months after application (usually)
- Sulfonylureas (Cimarron, metsulfuron, Pastora, Outrider, etc.)
 - Delay planting by 4-12 mos.+
- Dicamba (Banvel, Clarity, Weedmaster, etc.)
 - Delay planting by 4 months+

Specimen Label
GrazonNext 1.0

Courtesy of D. Hancock

When to Plant:

- Plant at the right time of the year, when conditions are favorable!
- Recommended Fall Planting
 - Northern GA – Sept. 15 – Oct. 15
 - Southern GA – Oct. 15 – Nov. 15
- When there is plenty of soil moisture and temperatures have dropped into favorable range of planting for success!




- Plant with a No-Till drill
 - **No Deeper** than ½ inch
 - 1/4th is better!



- Plant with a No-Till drill
 - **No Deeper** than ½ inch
 - 1/4th is better!
- In a suppressed sod?
 - Yes - bermudagrass
 - More of a challenge with cool season species
- Seeding Rate:
 - Drill: 20-25 lbs/A
 - Interseeding?
 - What about Row Spacing?



Percentage Bermudagrass in Mixtures at 3 different row spacing's at 2 locations in South Carolina (1987-1989)



Adapted from Stringer et al., 1994



Inoculate Legume Seed!

The population of the specific Rhizobium (Rhizobium meliloti) is likely to be very low in the soil.

- Inoculate seed with fresh inoculant before seeding.
 - 1 bag of inoculant per bag of seed.
- Alfalfa seed often comes pre-inoculated.
 - coated with an inert material, usually lime,
 - saves time and helps to ensure inoculation
 - check expiration date








What now?

- After emergence – Spray with insecticide to control insect pests



PESKY PASTURE PESTS IN ALFALFA



What now?

- After emergence –
Spray with insecticide to control insect pests
- Irrigate if available
- Remember:
– When in Doubt, Scout!
- G.O.A.L.
– Get Out And Look!




Taking the First Cutting off of the New Stand



Allow to advance in maturity to at least early bloom, if at all possible.

Courtesy of Dr. Hancock



It's Here to stay or Go Away?




- Keys to maintaining Alfalfa in Bermudagrass
- Applying K Fertility as recommended
- Following K Fertility recommendations
- Fertilizing with K as recommended
- Apply B and Mo as recommended


Don't Forget K!!



Fertilize for Stands to Survive



- Don't Guess - Soil Test!
- Pay attention to Potassium!
- Remember Micronutrients!




Meet Forage Needs by Controlling Weeds




Dr. Jennifer Tucker

UGA Asst. Professor, Animal and Dairy Sciences



Improving Animal Performance with Alfalfa

Dr. Kim Mullenix,
Auburn University Asst. Professor and
Beef Extension Specialist

Dr. Jennifer Tucker, UGA Asst. Professor,
Animal and Dairy Sciences

Drs. Kim Mullenix and Jennifer Tucker

Auburn University Beef Extension Specialist
UGA Asst. Prof., Animal & Dairy Sciences




Improving Animal Performance with Alfalfa

Kim Mullenix, Ph.D. Extension Beef Specialist
Auburn University

Jennifer Tucker, Ph.D. Assistant Professor
University of Georgia

2018
Alfalfa in the South
Workshops




Goals for Today

- Review **animal nutrient requirements**
- Discuss **how alfalfa can contribute to nutritional gaps** in the diet
- Look at potential **systems using alfalfa-bermudgrass** in cattle operations










Look at the following picture – what do you see first?






Becoming a Better Forage Manager Starts with Knowing What You Have


- What is your forage base?
- How many days a year do I currently feed hay?
- What is my stocking rate?
- What is my estimated forage utilization?



How Can We Improve Them?

- Adding diversity to the system
- Use a mixture that is complementary – not either/or approach
 - Legumes alone vs. grass alone comparison



Legumes Can Have a Positive Influence on Intake

- Improves:
 - rate of forage digestion
 - nitrogen balance in rumen when low-quality forage is supplemented
- Secondary metabolites may be present – saponins
 - Effects still unclear

Table 1. Steer intake of alfalfa or alfalfa-tall fescue mixed pastures under continuous stocking.

Pasture Composition	% Forage Use	Total forage intake, g/min/steer
Alfalfa	53	14.8
2/3 Alfalfa	65	16.6
1/3 Alfalfa	50	13.4
AU Triumph Tall Fescue	45	11.5


Seman et al., 1999; Niderkorn and Beaumont, 2009

Quality and Intake = Less Supplementation

Hay Quality	Class of cattle	Dry matter capacity, % of BW
Low quality (less than 52% TDN, 8% CP)	Dry cows	1.5
	Lactating cows	2.0
Average quality (52 to 56% TDN, 9 - 12% CP)	Dry cows	2.0
	Lactating cows	2.3
High quality (> 56% TDN, 12% CP)	Dry cows	2.5
	Lactating cows	2.7

Animal Performance Expectations - Grazing

- Stand persistence
 - 35 to 50% in Yr 1 and 2 for CON, Decreased to 14% in Yr 3
 - ROT maintained ~30% or greater over 3 years
- Greater nutritive value and forage allowance in ROT vs. CON
- Gain per acre: 580 lb/ac



Data from Beck et al., 2017

Animal Performance Expectations – Conserved Forage

Average baleage quality of mixed alfalfa-bermudagrass

- 65 to 67% TDN
- 14 to 16% CP
- Meets needs of:
 - Cow-calf pair in peak lactation
 - Steers – gaining 2.0 lb per day




FORAGE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES AND ANIMAL PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

Alfalfa-Bermudagrass Harvest Management

Agronomic Recommendations	Animal Implications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harvest alfalfa at early to mid bloom stage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Often April/May depending on region and weather conditions • General harvest frequency of 28 to 35 days thereafter in Coastal Plain region 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First harvest has greater proportion of alfalfa – increased quality • Maintenance of timely harvests = greatest quality potential

Alfalfa-Bermudagrass Grazing Management

- Under similar stocking rates, no animal performance differences in CON vs. ROT stocking (Beck et al., 2017)
- However, can management recommendations be refined to determine if rotational systems can support greater stocking rates?



Grazing Recommendations Still Need to Be Refined

Height + Frequency = Grazing Management

USDA United States Department of Agriculture
National Institute of Food and Agriculture

University of Georgia EXTENSION

extension

Locations marked on map:
 • Crossville, AL
 • Watkinsville, GA
 • Shorter, AL
 • Tifton, GA
 • Marianna, FL
 • Citra, FL

HOW MIGHT THIS FIT IN YOUR SYSTEM?

University of Georgia EXTENSION

extension

Where Do I Have the Greatest Need?

- Cows
- Calves
- Replacement females

University of Georgia EXTENSION

extension

Preconditioning with Baleage

- Evaluate whether market conditions are favorable for backgrounding
- Target ADG ~ 2.5 lb/d
- Depending on quality, may be achievable with forage alone or with some additional supplementation
 - Fiber-based byproduct supplements complement this system well
 - Soyhulls, corn gluten feed, etc.

University of Georgia EXTENSION

extension

Creep Grazing

- Allows access to high-quality forage
 - As early as 3 to 4 months of age
- Calves must be trained to this system
- Familiarizes them with the pasture area

University of Georgia EXTENSION

Creating a creep access point: www.aces.edu/go/903

extension

Creep Grazing Followed by Fenceline Weaning

- Calves are used to pasture area where they are being weaned
- Can see/smell/touch cows

University of Georgia EXTENSION

extension

Drs. Kim Mullenix and Jennifer Tucker
Auburn University Beef Extension Specialist
UGA Asst. Prof., Animal & Dairy Sciences



Today's Talk In Review

- Legumes can improve forage systems for beef cattle
 - Quality
 - Intake
- Match improvements in quality to areas of increased/greatest demand in the herd
- Ask if this is a fit for your specific system



Questions?

Email

mullemk@auburn.edu
Jjtucker@uga.edu

Websites

www.alabamabeefsystems.com
www.ugabeef.com
www.secattleadvisor.com



facebook.com/alabamabeefsystems




Managing Alfalfa for Persistence

Dr. Dennis Hancock, UGA Professor and
Forage Extension Specialist



Alfalfa Breeding Program

Dr. Joe Bouton, UGA Professor Emeritus,
Forage Breeding

Dr. Ali Missaoui, UGA Asst. Professor,
Forage Breeding



In the USA, the initial phase for breeding new alfalfa varieties centered on developing “public types” containing broad adaptation traits



By the 1980s, proprietary alfalfa varieties were mainly being sold, and continue to be developed and sold today, based on their **fall dormancy and different pest resistance ratings**; but also on **complex genetic traits** such as winter hardiness and grazing tolerance.



grazing tolerance.

Winter Survival, Fall Dormancy & Pest Resistance Ratings

for Alfalfa Varieties
2004/2005 Edition

Information obtained from the Association of Official Seed Certifying Agencies (AOSCA), and the National Alfalfa Variety Review Board (NAVVB) Report. Blank spaces indicate variety is susceptible or has not been adequately tested.

Variety	Conduct for Marketing Information	Resistance Ratings										Fall Dormancy Ratings							
		FD	WS	SW	Ve	Pe	An	PRR	SAA	PA	RAA	SN	APH1	APH2	SNKH	NRKH	PL	MLE	CT
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public	2	105	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Alfalfa	Public</																		





How to Select a Variety Using Tech Sheet Information

AMERICA'S ALFALFA

Alfagraz 600 RR

Original dual-purpose Alfagraz with Genity® Roundup® Ready Tolerance

Variety Selection Tool

Fall Dormancy

Biotech Trait(s)

Complex genetic trait(s)

Adaptation Zone

Pest Resistances

Quality Dual Purpose for Hay or Grazing

Selected for Survival and Persistence



AMERICA'S ALFALFA

Against this historical background, the **UGA Forage Breeding Program at Athens** was begun in 1977:

- It concentrated on developing cultivars of **temperate species such as alfalfa, tall fescue, red and white clovers, and orchardgrass.**
- It became a **large cooperative effort** among different UGA departments and outside commercial partners that utilized the diverse UGA experiment stations network to conduct the main field and animal research studies.



The central feature of the **UGA Alfalfa Breeding Initiative** was incorporating the complex genetic trait, grazing tolerance, into adaptive germplasm along with proper fall dormancies and multiple pest resistances.






Roundup Ready ALFALFA **UGA Varieties Have Performed Well**

Feed and Forage Analysis Reports*

Hay Crop	Relative Forage Quality (RFQ)	Crude Protein**	Total Digestible Nutrients**
1 st cut Bermudagrass + Alfagraz 600 RR Alfalfa	189.9	20.5%	67.2%
2 nd cut Bermudagrass + Alfagraz 600 RR Alfalfa	240.2	25.1%	68.4%
1 st cut Fescue + Orchardgrass	86.6	15.9%	51.4%



*Field locations near Canon, GA
**Measured by Near Infrared Reflectance (NIR) Analysis – reported on Dry Matter Basis
UGA Feed and Environmental Water Laboratory – Athens, GA

Winner of 2014 Southeastern Hay Contest in Perennial Peanut/Alfalfa Hay Category


GotBermudagrass.com 




UGA On-Farm Program Demonstrated the Value of Managing Bermudagrass by Inter-Planting Alfalfa


Bulldog 805 alfalfa variety interseeded November 11, 2011 into a Bermudagrass field near Valdosta, GA. Picture taken April 12, 2012.



Bulldog 805 alfalfa variety 2nd year stand. Picture taken March 29, 2013.



Bulldog 805 alfalfa variety 3rd year stand. Picture taken April 9, 2014.



Demo Example: America's Alfalfa & UGA Field Day at Roper Demo, Canon, GA, May 2016



Demo Farmer Ricky Roper Reported at Field Day:

- "Used alfalfa to feed young heifers and some cows during winter months at approximately 1 bale each per week along with 4 times the amount of normal grass hay".
- "Fall through Winter Grazing was a good option for me".
- "Cows never looked better in the winter"!

Dr. Joe Bouton

UGA Professor Emeritus, Forage Breeding



Iowa? No, Bulldog 805 Alfalfa in Southern Georgia!

Based on its successful history, and the continuation of the current UGA breeding program, the future for development of new alfalfa varieties for the Southern region looks bright indeed!







UGA Alfalfa Research and Cultivar development

Ali Missaoui
Assist. Professor and Forage Breeder, UGA



UGA Alfalfa Research and Cultivar development

- Mission of the program:
 - Research and Development of cool season forage crop cultivars for Georgia and the southeast.
 - Provide genetic solution to major challenges facing alfalfa production in the region.






Alfalfa is not new in the Southeast


Alfalfa (Medicago sativa).—This plant makes such heavy yields in favorable locations that it has received special attention at the experiment stations, but has given widely varying results. On moderately rich and sandy soils it has proved quite valuable for soiling purposes, furnishing cuttings as early as February, with frequent successions until late in the summer, while on dry hills or heavy clay, and on soils where there is a lack of drainage its growth has been weak and unsatisfactory. It has made a better growth on the alluvial soil of the Louisiana Station than any other hay and pasture plant which has been tested, and is the only plant yet found which will successfully occupy the ground throughout the entire year. At that station the first sowing was made in October, 1890; and in June, 1892, twenty months after planting, fourteen cuttings, averaging nearly 1½ tons per acre, had been taken from the land. Another field sowed in March, 1892, had given

Why is the adoption of alfalfa is still slow ?

Choice of the right cultivar





217 Years ago !



10/4/1795, George Washington to Jefferson:

"Lucern has not succeeded better with me than with you; but I will give it another, and fairer trial before it is abandoned altogether"




11/20/1801, William Maclure to Jefferson:



"...perhaps the clover, lucern & other grasses which grow in the upper parts of Germany might succeed with you where the English and Dutch seeds have failed..."

.... In the country around the Hartz Mountains they use pulverized Gypsum as a top dressing for their Lucern & Cloverand frequently cut the lucern seven times....."

Unique Challenges in the southeast






Aluminum (Al^{3+}) is highly rhizotoxic and is a major stress factor to plants on acid soils




A manageable problem !

- Liming materials come in several forms
 - Calcitic products contain calcium-based neutralizers
 - Dolomitic sources contain both calcium and magnesium
 - Both are effective for changing soil pH
- When Ca/Mg ratios in the soil are low, calcitic limestone should be used
- Particle size matters more
- The pH of unlimed plots become more acidic over time



Alfalfa rooting depth and subsurface pH



Current Goals UGA Alfalfa Breeding Program

Low pH tolerant cultivars ?



- Field evaluation of 1570 accessions at Tifton in soil with a 4.8 pH
- A total of 1,260 plants tracing back to 112 origins
- Evaluated for yield against common check varieties grown in the region.
- Top 116 entries equal or better than checks (100 to 137 %) selected for crossing



Other Goals UGA Alfalfa Breeding Program

Alfalfa cultivars with tolerance to common problems

Selection for resistance to Potato Leaf Hopper in alfalfa

Identification of sources of resistance



Selection for root knot nematode resistance



Alfalfa cultivars for interseeding in bermudagrass

Germlasm evaluation for adaptation



Population development



Selection for yield and persistence under grazing



Experimental population in yield testing

Entry	Rank	Avg Yld
GAH45435-PSH	1	1917.35
Bulling 501	2	1905.2
GAH45	3	1888.59
GAH45435-A35	4	1868.38
GAH45435-PSH	5	1858.74
GAH45435-PSH	6	1792.86
GAH45435-PSH	7	1776
Bulling 501	8	1758.49
GAH45435-A35	9	1736.91
GAH45435-PSH	10	1713.5
GAH45435-A35	11	1670.19

Multi-location yield testing in pure and mixed stands



Forage yield and FDR of advanced experimental


Pure stand				Overseeded in Bermudagrass			
Genotypes	N	Yield (kg/ha)	FDR	Genotypes	N	Mean	FDR
GAMS1405-FSH	36	10435.8	9	GAMS1406-FSH	20	6620.2	8
GAMS1404-FSH	37	10156.3	9	Bulldog 505	20	6524.5	5
GAMS1401-A35	37	10112.6	9	GAMS1403-FSH	20	6518.3	8
Bulldog 505	37	10057.5	5	GAMS1404-A35	20	6491.2	9
600RR	37	10053.9	6	GAMS1401-A35	20	6449.8	9
GAMS1402-FSH	37	9981	8	GAMS1406-A35	20	6446	8
GAMS1406-FSH	37	9887.5	8	Bulldog 805	20	6415.7	8
GAMS1403-FSH	37	9815.5	8	600RR	20	6376.5	6
GAMS1405-A35	37	9296.5	9	GAMS1402-FSH	20	6363.7	8
GAMS1406-A35	37	9130.2	8	GAMS1405-A35	20	6274.7	9
Bulldog 805	37	8838.6	8	GAMS1405-FSH	20	6159.3	9
LSD		1327		LSD		676.78	
CV%		19.78		CV%		14.52	

Incorporation of cold tolerance in non-dormant alfalfa germplasm

- Annual forage distribution can be improved through prolongation of the vegetative and stay-green period
- Dormancy controls autumn/winter growth...



Frost injury to tap root



Using Stem Density to Evaluate Alfalfa Stands

Density (stems/ft ²)	Action
Over 55	Stem density not limiting yield
40-55	Stem density limiting yield potential
Under 40	Stem density severely limiting yield. Consider replacing.

$$\text{Yield (tons/acre)} = (\text{Stems/ft}^2 \times 0.1) \times 0.38$$

<https://www.mstc.org/foraging-and-managing-alfalfa-stands-for-winter-crop/>

Alfalfa potential as a deterrent to BSM



BSM cost hay producers over \$150 million.

Pyrethroids application at \$12-18/acre per hay clipping.

Research to determine low cost and environmentally safe management alternative




a) & b) Alfalfa plots in coastal bermudagrass mixture showing no BSM damage to bermudagrass. c) Coastal bermudagrass without alfalfa, showing BSM damage.

Research objectives

Investigate the potential of alfalfa as deterrent to BSM under a hay harvest system in different bermudagrass cultivars


Extent of genetic variation in alfalfa germplasm in ability to deter BSM and prospective for selection of alfalfa cultivars with high potential of deterrence

An effective P management tool



- Alfalfa removal of total P in the range of 169 to 283 kg ha⁻¹
- The high P accumulation in alfalfa tissue and uptake present an opportunity to use alfalfa as a tool in the management of pastures heavily amended with poultry litter

Managing Alfalfa In Georgia



Recommended Management Steps in 1914


- Use well drained soil
- Use well prepared, firm seed bed
- Add lime and P & K fertilizer
- Choose adapted variety
- Inoculate with Rhizobium
- Plant in fall of the year
- Harvest in early bloom stage



What was true 100 years ago is still true today for growing alfalfa in a traditional way (pure stand)!

From www.georgiaforages.com

Dr. Ali Missaoui
UGA Asst. Professor, Forage Breeding



Research Updates and Hot Topics Discussion

Moderated by Dr. Jennifer Tucker

University of Georgia and Auburn
University Graduate Students

Research Updates:

Alfalfa-Bermudagrass Mixtures

Evaluating the Management and Use of Bermudagrass and Alfalfa Bermudagrass Mixtures as Baleage

Taylor Hendricks, Jennifer Tucker, and Dennis Hancock

Study Background

Bermudagrass is the most prevalent warm-season perennial grass grown in the Southeast. Characterized by high yields and moderate at-best quality, bermudagrass has a substantial need for N fertilization and often-additional supplementation when fed to cattle. Alfalfa is a widely-used forage throughout the United States that has a high relative forage quality and is a good source of digestible energy and protein; additionally, it fixes nitrogen and therefore can achieve high yields without commercial nitrogen fertilizers. With the development of new alfalfa varieties that are more tolerant to the warm climate and insect pressure, alfalfa is re-gaining popularity in the Southeast.

The management of use of alfalfa and bermudagrass in the Southeast can be very similar; recommendations for both include high potassium fertility with multiple applications throughout the growing season, and timely cutting intervals of 4 to 6 weeks. Growing these two species simultaneously can provide producers with dual benefit as interseeding alfalfa into bermudagrass can reduce or eliminate the need for N fertilization, increase the relative forage quality, and decrease the need for additional supplementation when fed to livestock, as compared to monoculture bermudagrass.

Most often alfalfa and bermudagrass are grown for dry hay, however the use of alfalfa and alfalfa/bermudagrass mixtures for baleage is increasing. This increase may be attributed to the higher desirable moisture content of baleage which can reduce the risks of losses during the drying process in a wet/humid climate and fewer risks of environmental impacts. Additionally, a higher moisture content can also reduce the risk of quality losses due to leaf shatter. These considerations lead to more timely harvesting and a higher quality forage product.

The objective of this research is to 1) evaluate and compare the forage quality and yield of bermudagrass with an alfalfa-bermudagrass mixture when harvested as baleage and 2) to determine if the length of storage affects the feed value of bermudagrass baleage and alfalfa-bermudagrass baleage to quantify reported improvements in production and allow producers to evaluate if these changes would be practical in their farm operations.

Experimental Design

This experiment is on-going in Tifton, GA at the Coastal Plain Experiment Station on a previously established 10-acre field of 'Tifton 85' bermudagrass. Ten, 0.5-acre plots were randomly assigned to one of two treatments (5 replications of each treatment) during the fall of 2015. The treatments in this study are: 'Tifton 85' bermudagrass only (T85) and 'Tifton 85' bermudagrass interseeded with 'Bulldog 505' alfalfa (T85+Alf). Alfalfa for T85+Alf was established February 2016. All plots received 300 lbs potash/acre split application three times throughout the growing season (100 lbs K/acre each application), and T85 plots received 75 lbs N/acre every 6 weeks (four times throughout the growing season). All remaining nutrients are applied as necessary per UGA recommendations and annual soil test analysis. In July, plant tissues are collected for macro- and micro-nutrient deficiencies within the plant and additional fertilization is applied if required. Irrigation is not a component of this study however in 2016 extreme drought required that irrigation be used as necessary for maintenance at 1 inch per week. Scouting for pests occurs weekly and insecticide is applied as required for stand maintenance throughout.

The first cutting and last cutting of each year occur once alfalfa maturity has reached mid-bloom stage to promote root development for stand persistence (May 2016; March 2017).

All subsequent harvests occur at early bloom (10% flower) stage on a 28-35 day cutting interval throughout the growing season. In 2017, second year alfalfa growth was sufficient enough to allow for evaluation and harvest of T85+Alf plots in March, April, and May prior to the inclusion of T85 plots beginning in June; an additional T85+Alf harvest in November, after the T85 plots were dormant was also conducted. Harvested material is baled at 40-60% moisture and wrapped using an Anderson RB200 individual bale wrapper with 6 layers of Sunfilm® silage wrap following UGA extension recommendations for baleage production.

At each harvest plots are evaluated to determine species composition, forage maturity, stand density, forage yield (determined through hand harvesting and bale weights from each plot), and monitored for change throughout the growing season. Beginning at approximately 6 pm in the evening, plots are cut using a New Holland h7330 mower-conditioner set to a 3-inch stubble height. The morning following cutting, forage moisture is checked via the microwave method once dew has lifted (beginning at approximately 10 am); moisture content is continually checked throughout the day and baling occurs once plots reach the target moisture of 55%. Plots are then raked and baled using a Krone Fortima v1800mc round baler and moved to their storage location for wrapping. All bales are weighed and core samples are collected for initial forage quality at harvest prior to wrapping. Subsequent core samples are collected at 6 weeks, 9, and 12-months post-harvest to determine the effect of time on the stability of forage quality and illustrate forage quality differences between the two treatments.

Preliminary Results

Forage Yield

In 2016, harvests occurred during May, June, July, August, September, and November (T85+Alf only). The T85 treatment produced significantly higher cumulative yield than T85+Alf. In year 1, seasonal yields for T85 were significantly higher ($P < 0.001$) than T85+Alf (10,569 vs. 7475 lbs/acre, respectively) as well as produced greater forage at each harvest of the season (except November in which T85 was not harvested) (Figure 1). However, in 2017, T85+Alf had significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher seasonal yield than T85 (11,718 vs. 6672 lbs/acre, respectively) (Figure 1). Cumulative yield over the study period (2016 and 2017 growing seasons) also show numerically greater yields ($P = 0.0707$) in T85+Alf vs T85 (20,972 vs. 17,240 lbs/acre, respectively) (Figure 2). This can be explained in part, because the T85+Alf treatments had harvestable forage during March, April, May, and October 2017 while the T85 treatment did not produce a harvestable quantity of forage before June or after September 2017. Furthermore, first year botanical compositions suggested that T85+Alf was more susceptible to weed pressure than T85, likely due in part, to the severe drought of 2016. However, in 2017, the alfalfa stand density increased contributing to at least 30% of the composition of each T85+Alf mixed stand.




Figure 1. Forage yield (lb/A) for each harvest during the growing season. Bars represent significant differences at LSD $\alpha=0.05$.




Figure 2. Cumulative forage yield (lb/A) for both the 2016 and 2017 growing season. $\alpha=0.05$.

Forage Quality

During the 2016 season, T85+Alf had higher percent crude protein (CP) (13.3% and 10.2%, respectively) (Figure 3) and higher In-Vitro Dry Matter Digestibility (IVDMD) than T85 (74.1% and 71.1%, respectively) (Figure 3). Additionally, the T85+Alf mixture had lower Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) and Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) than T85 (28.7% and 32% ADF, respectively and 55.7% and 68.1% NDF). The T85+Alf treatment had CP values of at least 11% CP at each harvest, and significantly higher CP than T85 in both August and September 2016. While not statistically greater, the IVDMD was also greater at each harvest in the T85+Alf mixed stands. Finally, both NDF and ADF of T85+Alf were at or below the values of the T85 at every harvest. 2017 nutritive value analyses are currently being conducted.




Figure 3. Crude Protein (CP) and In-Vitro Dry Matter Digestibility (IVDMD) (%) at each harvest for the 2016 growing season. Bars represent significant differences at LSD $\alpha=0.05$.

Storage Quality

Bales were stored for 12-months post-harvest and core samples were taken at 6-weeks, 9- and 12-months post-harvest to determine storage length effects on stored forage quality. Crude protein did not change over the 12-month storage period, regardless of forage treatment (T85 or T85+Alf). In-Vitro Dry Matter Digestibility (IVDMD), Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN), and Relative Forage Quality (RFQ) all decreased between at-harvest and post-harvest sampling. IVDMD and RFQ did not change between 6-weeks and 12-months post-harvest for either T85 or T85+Alf (Figure 4). Additionally, TDN of T85 bales were not affected by storage length, but did decrease in T85+Alf bales between 9- and 12-months post-harvest (Figure 4).




Figure 4. In-Vitro Dry Matter Digestibility (IVDMD, %) and Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN, %) at harvest and 6-weeks, 9- and 12-months post-harvest. Letters represent differences at LSD $\alpha=0.05$

In Progress

At time of print, core samples from 2017 harvest bales (6-week, 9-month, and 12-month) are being analyzed for nutritive value and plots are being evaluated for yield and quality at harvest throughout the 2018 growing season.

**Support for this project provided by the
Georgia Agricultural Commodity Commission for Beef**



Managing Alfalfa-Bermudagrass Mixtures in the Southeast: Simulated Grazing Small Plot Evaluation in Alabama

Katie Mason¹, Landon Marks¹, Kim Mullenix¹, Jennifer Tucker², Leanne Dillard¹, and Russell Muntifering¹

¹Auburn University/Alabama Cooperative Extension System, Auburn, AL, ²University of Georgia/ UGA Cooperative Extension, Tifton, GA

Background

Alfalfa was once the dominant perennial legume species utilized in the Southeast (Figure 1); however, the hot, humid environment and elevated insect pressure soon eliminated many alfalfa stands. In recent years, breeding efforts have focused on improved varieties with adaptation to growing conditions in the Southeast. Recently, there has been growing interest on interseeding high-quality legumes, like alfalfa, into existing bermudagrass stands (Figure 2) as a step towards improving forage, animal, and ecosystem sustainability in the region.



Figure 1. Alfalfa in Headland, AL - April 2017



Figure 2. Alfalfa interseeded into bermudagrass, Shorter, AL - April 2018

Bermudagrass is one of the most abundant perennial warm-season grasses grown for pasture in the Southeast. Incorporating legumes into perennial warm-season grass pastures can produce significant economic and environmental benefits to southeastern livestock and forage systems. Alfalfa may be a fit for interseeding into bermudagrass sods to provide extended seasonal forage use, and improved stand quality and utilization within the first growing season.

Forage nutritive value of alfalfa meets or exceeds nutritional requirements of most livestock and including it in warm-season perennial pastures can improve herbage quality. This improvement may result in greater herd performance and create an environment for the return of nutrients to the grazed ecosystem. Refined grazing strategies for integrated alfalfa-bermudagrass systems may be an option for increasing alfalfa contribution in the Southeast. ***Identifying harvest management practices that promote stand persistence is critical for on-farm adoption of this system.***

The objective of this research is to determine the influence of harvest height and frequency on mixed alfalfa-bermudagrass stand yield, sward canopy characteristics, persistence, and nutritive value over time under simulated grazing. This will help to establish more defined grazing parameters for use in mixed alfalfa-bermudagrass systems in the Southeast.

Experimental Design

This experiment is on-going in Shorter, AL at the E.V. Smith Research Center on a previously established field of 'Tifton 85' bermudagrass. Pre-inoculated 'Bulldog 805' alfalfa was planted with a no-till drill at a seeding rate of 25 lb/ac on 14-inch rows on November 27, 2017. Prior to planting, bermudagrass was clipped to a 2-inch stubble and chemically frosted with glyphosate. The design is a randomized complete block design with four replications. Thirty-six plots (5 x 15 ft) will be established to evaluate influence of harvest frequency (2, 4, and 6 weeks) and harvest height (2, 4, and 6 inch) on alfalfa-bermudagrass mixed sward yield, persistence, stand density, botanical composition, and nutritive value. Treatments represent common grazing practices on perennial warm-season grass pastures in the Southeast.

Data collection will begin in spring 2018 once alfalfa maturity has reached mid-bloom stage (25 % of plants have flowers) to improve root development. Measurements collected will include pre- and post-grazing herbage mass (yield), vegetative cover, botanical composition, forage nutritive value, alfalfa stand density and persistence, and change in these canopy characteristics over time. Data collections will occur pre- and post-harvest.

Vegetative cover (% of ground covered by actively growing forage) will be collected pre-harvest by visually estimating the percent stand of each component (alfalfa, bermudagrass, weeds and/or bare area) using a forage quadrat (Figure 3). Within quadrat material will be harvested via hand clipping and material collected for botanical composition. Material will be hand separated into botanical components (alfalfa, bermudagrass, weeds and dead material) and individual component weights will be collected to estimate component yield. These values will be used to determine how the stand composition changes during the growing season.

Forage yield will be measured via simulated grazing by cutting a strip from the center of each plot to either 2, 4, or 6 inches, respectively. A subsample will be collected from each strip to determine forage production and nutritive values.

Alfalfa stand density and persistence will be rated three times (after the first, mid-point and final harvests) of the season by counting the number of alfalfa plants within three 0.3 ft² quadrats placed at random locations within the plot.



Figure 3. Forage quadrat for botanical composition sampling

Expected Outcomes

- Information obtained from these evaluations will begin to define alfalfa grazing metrics that can be implemented into rotational grazing of alfalfa-bermudagrass mixed swards in the Southeast.
- The goals of this project are to increase awareness of alfalfa utilization in the Southeast and the associated opportunities for livestock and forage producers in bermudagrass dominant locations.
- Adoption of the use of alfalfa in bermudagrass systems may contribute towards a year-round grazing management system, through effective utilization of higher quality forage combinations and decreased dependence on costly fertilizer and feed inputs.

Research Updates:

Pure Stand Alfalfa

Impact of potassium application and harvest regime in alfalfa yield, forage quality and stand persistence

Britta Thinguldstad

M.S student with Drs. Jennifer Tucker and Jacob Segers

Study Background

In recent years, interest in alfalfa has increased in the southeastern United States following the release of newer varieties that are more adaptable to the harsh environment in the region. Alfalfa is a high yielding, high quality forage, that can be harvested from Mid-March to November across the South however, nutrient management of alfalfa stands is critical to maintaining stand persistence and yield. Potassium is one such nutrient that is especially important for alfalfa growth, longevity, disease resistance, photosynthesis and respiration. Splitting applications of potassium has shown to have a better distribution with nutrient availability during times of growth, coupled with better use of those nutrients by the plant.

Harvest timing can also impact stand life. Current recommendations for appropriate harvest timing based on stage of maturity are at the 10% bloom stage, however, these recommendations are primarily based on research conducted in northern climates with different alfalfa varieties. In our climate, high temperatures and humidity, with low rainfall, may influence appropriate harvest timing to maximize stand persistence and yield.

The objective of this trial is to determine the impact of potassium fertilization and harvest regime on forage yield of plant persistence of 'Bulldog 805' alfalfa in the Southeastern US.


Experimental Design

This experiment was established in the Fall of 2016 and is currently on-going at the UGA Coastal Plain Experiment Station, Beef Cattle Unit, in Tifton, GA. Data collection began on the two-year stand of alfalfa with 14 inch row spacing in 2016. The main plot comprised of 16 150 sq. foot plots that were randomly assigned to 4 harvest treatments (4 replications of each treatment): bud stage, 10% bloom stage, 30% bloom stage and 50% bloom stage. Within each maturity stage, sub plots were assigned to evaluate the influence of five different potassium rates (0, 60, 90, 120 and 150 pounds per acre) split applied at 3 times throughout the season: before the first cutting, after the second cutting, and the fall before the last cutting. All remaining nutrients were applied as necessary per UGA recommendations and annual soil test analysis. Scouting for pests occurs weekly and insecticide is applied as required for stand maintenance as needed.

At each harvest, plots are visually assessed to determine ground cover and percent bloom, shoots are collected and evaluated for estimation of leaf:stem ratio and mass/shoot. Yield is determined by harvesting the whole plot, and grab samples are collected for nutritional analysis and mineral composition. Stand density is assessed before the first and after the last harvest each year, and soil samples are collected at the beginning and end of each harvest year to determine nutrient removal.

Preliminary Results

Preliminary yield data from 2017 harvests are shown below. Each figure illustrates the average yield of each maturity stage by harvest number (correlates with the date/month of each maturity harvest beginning in May of each year for a total of 6 harvests across the season) within each application rate (60, 90, 120, 150 lbs/acre and 0 as our untreated control). Timing of potassium application are illustrated by arrows within each figure.



This study is supported with funding from the USDA-NIFA-Alfalfa Forage Research Program (2016).



United States
Department of
Agriculture

National Institute
of Food and
Agriculture

Development of Grazing Recommendations for Managing Alfalfa-Bermudagrass Mixtures in the Southeastern U.S.

Kaylyn Groce
M.S. student with Dr. Jennifer Tucker

Study Summary

Alfalfa, otherwise known as the “queen of forages”, is slowly making a resurgence in the Southeastern United States as new alfalfa varieties have been developed to adapt and thrive in the region’s climate. While there are many on-going projects evaluating the use of alfalfa interseeded into existing bermudagrass sod, current work has been primarily focused on utilizing these mixtures when producing a stored forage product (i.e. dry hay, baleage). This high-quality, high-yielding legume could play an integral role in livestock grazing systems, however the use of alfalfa-bermudagrass mixtures has not been evaluated extensively for grazing. Previous work has primarily used fixed residual height or fixed rest periods when determining grazing end points, however it has been noted that both grazing intensity and frequency impact overall stand production over time.

The main objectives of this study are to observe the relationship of harvest height and frequency on alfalfa-bermudagrass mixtures, sward canopy characteristics, forage persistence, as well as the nutritive value to determine grazing parameters for an alfalfa-bermudagrass system in the Southeastern U.S. From this work we hope to begin to have a better understanding of the grazing metrics when utilizing alfalfa-bermudagrass mixed stands, to utilize this data in larger scale grazing evaluations, and to adjust recommendations for producers wishing to use these mixtures for grazing across the region.

Current Progress



Figure 1. Alfalfa-Bermudagrass Harvest Height and Frequency Plot Layout and

Small plot evaluations have been established into existing 'Tifton 85' bermudagrass at two locations in Georgia utilizing grazing tolerant, location specific, alfalfa varieties following UGA recommendations. Specifically, alfalfa variety 'Bulldog 505' at the J. Phil Campbell Sr. Research and Education Center in Watkinsville, GA (north), and 'Bulldog 805' at the University of Georgia Coastal Plains Experiment Station, Beef Cattle Center, in Tifton, GA (south). Thirty-six plots have been established at both locations to determine the influence of harvest height and frequency on alfalfa-bermudagrass sward yield, persistence, density, botanical composition, nutritive value, and associated change over time. Once the alfalfa reaches the mid-bloom stage (25% of the plants have flowers), they will be harvested at a 2-, 4-, or 6-week interval at a 2-, 4-, or 6-inch height (see Figure 1).

This study is supported by funding from the USDA-NIFA-Alfalfa Forage Research Program (2017).



United States
Department of
Agriculture

National Institute
of Food and
Agriculture

Special Thanks to Our Sponsors!



**AMERICA'S
ALFALFA™**

Athens Seed Co.



Appendix



Interseeding Alfalfa in Bermudagrass

- : Grow Your Own N Fertilizer**
- : Increase The Value Of Your Hay**
- : Management Simplification**

Why Interseed Alfalfa into Bermudagrass?

1. Grow your own nitrogen
2. Increase the quality of your forage (+ 30 or more RFQ points)
3. Makes excellent supplemental feed and/or cash hay crop
4. Growing with bermudagrass allows alfalfa to dry faster and be harvested clean
5. If all else fails, you still have bermudagrass.

Keys to Successfully Establishing Alfalfa into Bermudagrass

1. Select a well-drained site for planting.
2. Ensure no residual herbicide issues will affect establishment (e.g. Grazon, Pastora, etc.)
3. Soil test the site and lime and fertilize according to the recommendations.
 - a. Ideal levels are: pH 6.5, High P, and High K. pH at 1 ft depth should be greater than 5.5.
 - b. Pay attention to micronutrient fertilization needs (B and Mo, as needed)
4. Plant at the right time of the year:
 - a. Mountains and Piedmont area, Sept.15th - Oct. 15th.
 - b. Coastal Plains region Oct.15th- Nov.15th.
5. Have bermudagrass very short (1-2 in.) whenever planting.
 - a. Spray with glyphosate (Roundup) at 9 oz./ac if 5.5 lb. a.i. formulation or at 12 oz./ac if 4 lb. a.i. formulation.
 - b. It is ok to burn off with fire after the chemical burn down.
6. Plant with a no-till drill
 - a. Seeding rate = 22-25 lbs/ac
 - b. 7-9 in. rows
 - c. Plant no deeper than ½ in.
7. After emergence, spray with insecticide to control mole crickets and other insect pests (Mustang or Karate at the highest labeled rate).
8. Irrigate if available and necessary.

Keys to Maintaining Alfalfa in Bermudagrass

1. Applying K fertility as recommended
2. Following K fertility recommendations
3. Fertilize with K as recommended
4. Apply B and Mo as recommended
5. Take a tissue sample 1 wk prior to the second cutting of each year to determine other fertility needs
6. Scout and spray for alfalfa weevils in Feb./Mar. and fall armyworms in summer.

More Information:

For more information about growing alfalfa in Georgia, see the following publications and websites:

1. Alfalfa Management in Georgia (http://www.caes.uga.edu/Publications/pubDetail.cfm?pk_id=7788)
2. Growing Alfalfa in the South (<http://www.alfalfa.org/pdf/alfalfainthesouth.pdf>)
3. The Georgia Forages Website (www.georgiaforages.com)



Alfalfa Management in Georgia



Dennis W. Hancock

*Extension Forage Agronomist
Department of Crop and Soil Sciences*

G. David Buntin

*Extension Entomologist
Department of Entomology*

Lane O. Ely

*Extension Dairy Scientist
Department of Animal and Dairy Sciences*

R. Curt Lacy

*Extension Livestock Economist
Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics*

Gary L. Heusner

*Extension Equine Scientist
Department of Animal and Dairy Sciences*

R. Lawton Stewart, Jr.

*Extension Beef Nutritionist
Department of Animal and Dairy Sciences*

Contents

Introduction.	1
Establishment.	2
Site and Soil Selection.	2
Variety Selection.	2
Disease Resistance.	2
Dormancy.	2
Grazing Tolerance.	3
Information about Specific Varieties.	3
Soil Fertility at Establishment.	3
Inoculation.	4
Establishment Methods and Considerations.	4
Prepared Seedbed.	4
No-Tillage Methods.	5
Seeding Methods and Rates.	5
Seeding Dates.	6
Weed Control during Establishment.	6
Crop Rotation Requirement.	7
Fertilizing and Liming Established Stands.	8
Soil pH.	8
Fertilization.	8
Nitrogen.	8
Phosphorous.	9
Potassium.	9
Sulfur.	10
Boron.	10
Molybdenum.	11
Calcium.	11
Magnesium.	11
Manganese.	11
Zinc.	11
Detecting Deficiencies.	11
Plant Analysis.	12
Sampling Procedures.	12
Irrigation Management.	13
Harvest Management.	14
Harvest Timing Affects Yield, Quality, and Persistence.	14
When to Harvest.	14
The Effect of Harvesting Too Soon.	15
Regrowth after Harvest.	16
When to Make the Last Harvest in the Fall.	16
North Georgia.	16
South Georgia.	16
Curing and Producing High-Quality Alfalfa Hay.	17
Cutting Method.	17
Tedding.	19
Raking.	19
Baling.	19
Hay Preservatives.	20
Storage.	21

Alfalfa as a Silage Crop.....	21
Types of Silage.	21
Grazing Alfalfa.	22
Weed Control.....	24
Pre-Emergence.	24
Post-Emergence.....	24
Diseases.....	26
Anthracnose.	26
Aphanomyces Root Rot.	26
Crown and Root Rot Complex.	27
Leaf Spot Complex.	27
Sclerotinia Crown and Stem Rot.....	27
Spring Black Stem and Leaf Spot.....	28
Summer Black Stem and Leaf Spot.....	28
Southern Blight or Stem Rot.	28
Disease Management.	29
Nematodes.	29
Insects.....	30
Foliage-Feeding Insects.....	30
Alfalfa Weevils.	30
Aphids.....	31
Potato Leafhopper.....	32
Three-cornered Alfalfa Hopper.....	33
Blister Beetles.....	33
Others.....	34
Soil Insects.....	34
Management.	34
Alfalfa Utilization.....	35
Using Alfalfa Hay in Livestock Feeding Systems.....	35
Beef.	36
Guidelines for Feeding.....	36
Dairy Cow.....	37
Feeding Systems.....	37
Rations.....	38
Horse.	40
Economics of Alfalfa Production.....	42
Cost Outlays Vary.	42
Purpose of Budget.	42
Types of Costs.	42
Production Cost Estimates.	42
Annual Costs.....	42
Establishment Costs.	44
Equipment and Irrigation Fixed Costs.	45
Management Charges.....	45
Land Charges.	45
Computerized Budget Spreadsheet.....	45
Calculating Break-even Prices.	45
Returns from Alfalfa.	46
Factors Impacting Sales Price.	47
Alfalfa Feeding Values.	47
Summary.....	48

Alfalfa Management in Georgia

Dennis W. Hancock, Extension Forage Agronomist, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences

G. David Buntin, Extension Entomologist, Department of Entomology

Lane O. Ely, Extension Dairy Scientist, Department of Animal and Dairy Sciences

R. Curt Lacy, Extension Livestock Economist, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics

Gary L. Heusner, Extension Equine Scientist, Department of Animal and Dairy Sciences

R. Lawton Stewart, Jr., Extension Beef Nutritionist, Department of Animal and Dairy Sciences



Alfalfa growing on a farm in Walker County, GA

Alfalfa is a high-yielding, perennial legume that is well-suited to hay, silage, or pasture production. Alfalfa is known as the “Queen of Forages” because it produces an excellent quality, high-protein forage. These properties make alfalfa one of the most widely-grown crops in the world.

Acreage in Georgia devoted to the production of alfalfa hay peaked in the late 1950s at around 24,000 acres. Most of this production was concentrated on the Piedmont and Limestone Valley/Mountain regions and provided high-quality forage for the dairy and beef cattle industry in north Georgia. The heavier soils in these areas tend to be more fertile and less acidic, which allows well-managed alfalfa to persist for at least four to seven years.

Although acreage declined in the 1960s because of more cost-effective forages, alfalfa production in Georgia recently has been steadily increasing. Breeding efforts at the University of Georgia and elsewhere have greatly improved stand-life in the Southeast. These new varieties are better adapted to specific soil and climatic regions in Georgia, especially the Coastal Plain region. In these areas, adapted varieties generally produce four to six tons of dry matter (DM) per acre under normal growing conditions. Well-managed stands of adapted varieties should persist for at least three to five years in south Georgia.

The most dramatic increase in alfalfa acreage has been in the Coastal Plain region, where many plantings made on irrigated soils have produced yields ranging from five to eight tons DM per acre. Dryland alfalfa production in the Coastal Plain is riskier, as many soils in these areas are prone to drought. Although, alfalfa is relatively drought tolerant, it will be much less productive under moisture stress.

Establishment

Site and Soil Selection

Alfalfa grows best on deep, well-drained, and fertile soils with a high moisture holding capacity. Alfalfa is a deep-rooting plant if root growth is not restricted by hardpans, high water tables (poor drainage), or acid subsoils.

Sandy loam or clay loam soils in the Piedmont and sandy loam soils in the Coastal Plain may be well suited for alfalfa production. Soils that have been cropped for several years using good management practices are generally more suitable than soils that have recently been cleared and brought into production. Well-managed cropland soils usually have a history of fertilizer and lime applications. As a result, these soils will often be more fertile and have higher pH values at both the soil surface and through the soil profile.

In the Coastal Plain, it is especially important for subsoil acidity to be evaluated before considering planting alfalfa. Subsoil acidity may take years (eight or more) to remediate and should be evaluated by collecting soil samples in one-foot increments down to four feet. The pH in each of these increments should be equal to or greater than 5.5. As pH levels decline below 5.5, aluminum (Al) increases in soil solution. At sufficient concentrations, Al becomes toxic to root growth. Avoiding sites that have subsoil pH values below 5.5 is therefore critical for optimum root development and alfalfa production.

Level land is not necessarily a requirement for alfalfa; however, slopes that are not conducive to tillage, machinery operations, and/or irrigation may not be suitable for alfalfa grown for hay or silage.

Variety Selection

Variety selection is one of the most important considerations in an alfalfa production program. Many alfalfa varieties are on the market, but most were developed for use in other areas of the country and may not perform well in the Southeast. Also, varieties suitable for use in north Georgia may not perform well in south Georgia, or vice versa.

Disease Resistance

Since many disease and insect pests attack alfalfa, most varietal development has concentrated on improving overall pest resistance. Consequently, many varieties are now available that exhibit resistance to multiple pests. Such a diverse genetic base for resistance to many pests has resulted in a broad array of varieties that out-yield and are more persistent than many old cultivars. For Georgia, specific emphasis should be placed on selecting varieties that are highly resistant to as many disease and nematode problems as possible.

Dormancy

Another consideration in selecting a variety is the cultivar's growth period. Varieties differ widely in how early they initiate regrowth in late winter and when they go dormant in the fall. Alfalfa varieties are rated on a "fall dormancy" scale (Table 1) that rates the timing of when the variety ceases growth in the fall of the year. This is an important trait where winters are long and severe, because cultivars that go dormant earlier in the fall are better able to protect themselves for longer winters.

Less dormant varieties are more appropriate for alfalfa production in Georgia. The fall dormancy rating scale divides varieties into groups 1 through 9, with 1 being extremely dormant (no fall-winter growth) and 9 being non-dormant (active winter growth). For the Limestone Valley/Mountain and the Piedmont regions, varieties with a dormancy rating of 3 to 6 are recommended. Dormancy groups 5 to 9 are more suitable for the Coastal Plain region.

Table 1. Fall dormancy in alfalfa varieties.

Dormancy Group	Rating	Notes
Very Dormant	1	Very winter hardy, no fall or late winter growth
Dormant	2 and 3	Winter hardy, little fall or late winter growth
Moderate Dormant	4,5 and 6	Moderately winter hardy; moderate fall and late winter growth
Nondormant	7 and 8	Not winter hardy; good fall and late winter growth
Very Nondormant	9	Very susceptible to any winter conditions; very good fall and late winter growth.

Grazing Tolerance

Improving grazing tolerance — an important new development — was pioneered by Dr. Joe Bouton, a long-time plant breeder at the University of Georgia. Dr. Bouton’s work resulted in the release of several “grazing” varieties that are tolerant of rotational grazing and “dual-purpose” varieties that are useful for hay and grazing.

Information about Specific Varieties

Most seed companies that sell alfalfa seed are members of the Alfalfa Council. This group provides a list of the varieties that their member companies offer for sale, available online at www.alfalfa.org. The Alfalfa Council's list contains information on nearly 400 distinct varieties segmented by dormancy group. It also includes ratings of each variety’s resistance to seven diseases and seven insect pests, and information on traits such as winter survival, multi-foliate expression rate (leaves with more than three leaflets), and grazing tolerance.

The Alfalfa Council’s list of alfalfa varieties is not completely comprehensive and may not include some information that would be pertinent to variety selection for Georgia producers. Therefore, University of Georgia forage researchers and plant breeders review recent research data on current and new alfalfa varieties and maintain a list of recommended varieties. Usually, the recommended varieties have been evaluated in yield and persistence trials conducted by scientists at the University of Georgia Agricultural Experiment Stations. These varieties are also known to have levels of disease resistance that are appropriate for the locations for which they are recommended. The current list of recommended varieties can be found on the “Forage Species and Varieties Recommended for Use in Georgia” web page at <http://www.caes.uga.edu/commodities/fieldcrops/forages/species.html>.

Soil Fertility at Establishment

Assessing soil fertility is the next step in gauging whether or not a site is suitable for alfalfa production. Alfalfa has a high fertility requirement and a soil test will determine the need for lime and fertilizer. Always apply the recommended quantities indicated on the soil test report. Proper fertilization and soil pH adjustment prior to establishment are critical to promoting early growth, disease resistance, and winter hardiness. Maintaining adequate fertility is equally important and is addressed in greater detail in the section titled “Fertilizing and Liming Established Stands”.

When soil analysis indicates a pH below 6.5, apply sufficient agricultural limestone to adjust the soil pH to 6.8 to 7.0. It takes time for lime to neutralize soil acidity, so it should be applied at least **six months** prior to seeding. If possible, the lime should be incorporated into the soil to allow the amendment to affect deeper portions of the soil. If more than two tons of limestone are needed, apply one-half of the amount and incorporate it by first disking and



Lime application prior to soil preparation.

then turning the soil. Use a plow to uniformly mix the limestone in the plow depth. Apply the remainder and incorporate it into the soil surface by disking.

Phosphorous (P) and potassium (K) are two plant nutrients critical for establishing alfalfa stands. Adequate levels of P and K are crucial for root development and seedling vigor. Boron (B) and molybdenum (Mo) play significant roles in nodule formation and nitrogen-fixation and are essential for alfalfa establishment and production. Only small quantities of these micronutrients are needed. Immediately prior to planting, 1/4 ounce of molybdenum (2/3 ounce of sodium molybdate) should be applied to each bag (60 lbs.) of alfalfa seed in just enough water to slightly moisten the seed. (CAUTION: To avoid Toxicity, do NOT exceed the recommended amount of molybdenum.)

Alfalfa is a deep-rooting forage crop when unimpeded by soil properties. Fertilizer (particularly phosphorous) should be incorporated into the soil as deeply as possible using conventional equipment. Since alfalfa will survive for several years and fertilizer and lime applications made in subsequent years will be surface-applied, uniform incorporation before establishment provides long-term benefits.

Inoculation

Many companies have begun to market pre-inoculated alfalfa seed that have been coated with an inert material, usually lime, to protect the inoculant. This saves time and helps ensure adequate and appropriate inoculation. No further inoculation before planting should be necessary, unless the inoculated seed has been stored improperly or the inoculant has expired.

If there is a question about the viability of the inoculum on pre-inoculated seed, re-inoculate the seed with fresh “Type A Inoculant” (the *Rhizobium meliloti* bacteria that are specific to alfalfa) just before seeding. Inoculants are usually packaged in plastic bags to protect the bacteria from drying. Protect bags of inoculant from direct sunlight or hot temperatures. One eight-ounce bag of inoculant will generally be enough to inoculate one bag of seed. However, always read and follow the label instructions. Inoculate only the amount of seed that will be planted each day and keep the inoculated seed in a shady location until it’s placed into the planter.



Improperly inoculating alfalfa seed will likely result in poor nodulation, permanent stunting, or stand failure.

To inoculate, fill a large metal or plastic tub about half full with seed and apply enough water to moisten the seed (you will need to stir the seed). Sprinkle the recommended amount of inoculant on the moist seed and stir until the seed are uniformly coated. Moist seed tend to stick together, so let the seed dry for a few minutes before seeding.

The inoculant sticks to the seed better if a water-sugar solution or syrup-water solution is used to moisten the seed. Research indicates that a commercial sticker material significantly improves inoculation.

Establishment Methods and Considerations

Prepared Seedbed

For monoculture alfalfa stands, plant alfalfa on a well-prepared, firm, weed-free seedbed. Plowing and disking should be done as needed, incorporating pre-plant applications of lime and fertilizer, and a good, firm seedbed should be ensured. All tillage or heavy disking operations should be completed at least five weeks before the expected seeding date. Smooth the seedbed and disk lightly as needed to control weeds and incorporate pre-

emergence herbicides prior to seeding. Use of a heavy roller or culti-packer before seeding will firm the soil and improve seedling establishment and stands. A rule of thumb for determining when the soil is appropriately firm is observing the depth of footprints in the soil. When a boot-heel leaves only a 1/4-inch indentation in the soil (assuming the person is of average weight), the soil is firm enough for planting.

Sandy Coastal Plain soils may have compacted layers or hardpans, which can restrict root growth and affect water and nutrient uptake by the plant. Subsoiling or chisel plowing can be beneficial if the soil has a hardpan.



A cultipacker can help firm the soil prior to planting.



Soil that is firm enough for planting will allow a boot-heel to sink no deeper than 1/4-inch.

No-Tillage Methods

Although conventional tillage and planting into a prepared seedbed is ideal, planting alfalfa with a no-till (sod-seeding) drill can result in satisfactory stand establishment and yields. Some producers are planting alfalfa into existing stands of bermudagrass or other grass sods. This will require no-till establishment.

When planting alfalfa into a bermudagrass sod, ensure that the bermudagrass is dormant and closely mowed (and free of residue) or grazed. If the stand is to be primarily an alfalfa stand, use a row-spacing of 15 inches or less. If the stand is to be managed as a mixture of bermudagrass and alfalfa with an expectation that it will eventually revert back to solid bermudagrass, use a row-spacing of 21 inches or more.

For no-till seeding into existing cool-season grass sods (e.g., tall fescue, orchardgrass), suppress the grass by closely mowing or grazing before planting with a no-till drill. If the grass is in or will be entering a rapid growth phase, chemical (herbicide) suppression of the grass immediately before planting may be necessary.

Seeding Methods and Rates

Using a cultipacking-seeder or grain drill with a small seed box to plant alfalfa into a prepared seedbed will usually result in satisfactory stands. Alternatively, seed may be broadcast and then incorporated using a double-gang, culti-packer. Regardless of the system used to plant the alfalfa, the seed should not be placed too deep (1/8- to 1/4-inches deep in loamy or clay loam soils; 1/4- to 1/2-inches deep in sandy loam or sandy soils). When seeding with grain drills, accurate depth control may be difficult to obtain. If seeding depth control will be difficult, the grain drill can be used as a broadcast seeder by disconnecting the drop tubes from the small seed attachment box and fixing it in such a way that the seed are discharged about two feet above the soil surface.



Cultipacking-seeder planting into a firm seedbed.



Cultipacking after planting with a grain drill will improve seed-soil contact.

Soil should be firm around the seed to provide proper seed-soil contact. Cultipacking or using a heavy corrugated roller after seeding will aid seed-soil contact and improve stands. An insecticide application after initial germination may be needed to control insects such as field crickets. If the seedbed is weedy (especially with ryegrass), spray with a non-selective, translocated herbicide (e.g., glyphosate) a day or two before seeding, or immediately after seeding.

When alfalfa is planted with a cultipacking-seeder or grain drill, the seeding rate should be 18 to 25 lbs. of pure live seed per acre. Higher rates should be used when seeding conditions are marginal, such as when planting with a no-till drill. When planting coated seed, some adjustment in seeding rate may be made to account for the coating weight.

Seeding Dates

In the Limestone Valley/Mountains region and the upper half of the Piedmont, a fall seeding in mid-September to late October is recommended. In the lower two-thirds of the state (Lower Piedmont and Coastal Plain), a mid-October to late November seeding is recommended. Plantings made between early March and mid-April may be successful in both locations but will likely require irrigation and a very aggressive defense against weeds. When planting into cool- or warm-season perennial grass sods, do not plant in the spring.

Always plant alfalfa when soil temperature and moisture conditions will allow rapid seed germination and establishment. This is critical for later seedings. Alfalfa seedlings need six to eight weeks of good growing conditions before the first hard freeze (temperatures below 25° F) occurs. Alfalfa seeds germinate much slower in cold soils and may benefit from a low application of N when the rhizobia are relatively inactive in cold soil.

Weed Control during Establishment

Weed competition can cause poor stands. Treatment with a pre-emergence herbicide, such as EPTC (Eptam) or benefin (Balan), that controls winter annual grass and broadleaf weeds is recommended. Common bermudagrass must be eliminated from the field before planting alfalfa. This grass is often extremely difficult to control in alfalfa stands. Further information about weed control during establishment and during the life of the stand are presented in the “Weed Control” section of this publication. Additional up-to-date information about specific herbicide options during alfalfa establishment can be found in the “Alfalfa Weed Control” section of the Georgia Pest Management Handbook <http://www.ent.uga.edu/pmh/>.

Crop Rotation Requirement

Alfalfa is an excellent addition to a crop rotation, particularly for crops that require high nitrogen fertilization. However, rotating out of alfalfa eventually must be done. Alfalfa produces compounds from its leaves and flowers that are autotoxic (i.e., they prevent alfalfa seed from germinating and growing). Once fully established (six to eight months), these autotoxic compounds prevent the “thickening-up” of a stand by planting more seed. This prevents alfalfa from being successfully planted into an existing or recently destroyed (within eight to 12 months) alfalfa stand. **Do not plant alfalfa into a field that contains or contained alfalfa within the last eight to 12 months.** Always allow a full growing season of another crop(s) before replanting alfalfa.

Fertilizing and Liming Established Stands

Maintaining adequate fertility is essential for successful alfalfa production, since nutrient deficiencies lead to yield losses, poor disease resistance, pest problems, and short-lived stands. If any nutrient is deficient, problems in any one or all of these areas can be expected. Thus, it is critical that a good soil fertility program be the basis of any alfalfa production system. This section briefly presents information on several aspects of soil fertility management.

Soil pH

It is critical that a soil pH of 6.5 to 7.0 be maintained in areas where alfalfa is grown. When soil pH is kept at this level, the nutrients stored in the soil will be most available to the alfalfa, and the alfalfa's ability to use applied fertilizer nutrients will be improved. Maintaining the appropriate soil pH also promotes nodulation and more efficient nitrogen fixation. Soil test each fall and apply limestone as needed.

Maintaining proper soil pH also prevents toxic elements such as Al and manganese (Mn) from becoming soluble in the soil. When soil pH drops below 5.5, Al dissolves in the soil solution. Soluble Al is toxic to alfalfa roots and drastically inhibits root growth. Adding of lime raises soil pH, and the Al returns to a solid form.

Neutralizing soil acidity deep into the soil profile is difficult. As a result, the soil surface may be neutral while the subsoil is very acidic. In this situation, the addition of gypsum (CaSO_4) may be helpful. Although gypsum does not alter the soil pH, it can infiltrate the soil profile and reduce the amount of soluble Al. Research with alfalfa has shown significant yield increases in response to gypsum application on some soils with acidic subsoils. A subsoil sample (soil from deeper than 15 inches) must be tested to determine whether gypsum is needed and if it will decrease toxic levels of Al.



Low soil pH in this area of an alfalfa field in South Georgia has resulted in a thin stand.

Fertilization

Alfalfa has a high nutrient requirement, especially when the nutrients are removed from the field as hay or silage. For example, each ton of alfalfa hay may remove as much as 15 lbs. of phosphate (P_2O_5) and 60 lbs. of potash (K_2O). Productive, high-yielding stands require that these nutrients be returned to the soil through fertilizer, manure, or other sources in order to maintain yields and persist. Thus, annual alfalfa field soil sampling and testing is critical for tracking soil fertility levels and nutrient needs. Soil samples should be taken at the same time each year. It is also recommended that the soil test information be supplemented with occasional plant tissue analyses (See the "Plant Analysis" section.)

Nitrogen

In well-managed stands, the nitrogen-fixing bacteria that colonize nodules on the alfalfa roots will meet the crop's nitrogen requirement. Nitrogen-deficient alfalfa is commonly a symptom of a soil pH that is too low. Soil pH markedly affects the activity of the rhizobia bacteria that fix nitrogen. Nitrogen deficiencies will also occur if the seed was not inoculated properly before planting or if, for some other reason, nodules have not developed. When this occurs in soils that have a pH of 6.5 to 7.0, the poor nitrogen fixation may be the result of other nutrient deficiencies such as boron, calcium, magnesium, molybdenum, etc.

To diagnose nitrogen deficiencies, dig up suspect plants and check the nodules. Active nodules, when cut in half, are dark pink or red in color. A brown, black, green, or pale pink color denotes an inactive nodule. Also, determine the soil pH and analyze the plant tissue for nitrogen. Nitrogen-deficient plants turn pale green with a slight yellowish tinge. Later, leaves may become distinctly yellow.

Phosphorous


Phosphorus is an essential element for many vital alfalfa processes (e.g., root development, energy transfer, etc.). Soil phosphorous levels should be adjusted by fertilization prior to seeding and sufficient phosphorous should be applied in subsequent years to maintain adequate soil test levels. This element does not leach from the soil, so one application per year is sufficient.

Phosphorus levels in most of Georgia's soils are naturally low. Phosphorus deficiency symptoms are not as well-defined as those of many other nutrients. In general, P-deficient alfalfa stands will be stunted, the leaves may be upward-tilting and much smaller than normal, and older leaves may be stunted and dark green or purple. Symptoms may also include a decreased growth rate, reduced nodulation, and delayed maturity. Phosphorus-deficient plants may appear grayish-green and resemble drought stress, even with adequate soil moisture.

Potassium (K)

For alfalfa, potassium is essential for high yields, persistent stands, and disease resistance. This element, which is second only to nitrogen in concentration in the plant, affects plant vigor, forage quality, winter survival, and stand life.

For most sites in Georgia, K deficiency will be the most common and most problematic issue. Potassium deficiencies in alfalfa are easily recognized. White spots appearing along the margins of older leaves are an early indication of K deficiency. In time, tissue between the spots turns yellow and dies. Since potassium is a mobile nutrient in plants, symptoms first appear on leaves that are lower in the canopy, and the severity of yellowing increases from the top to the bottom of the affected shoots.



Potassium deficiencies can be prevented and stand life prolonged by a good K fertilization program. Soil test K levels should be high or supplemented with K_2O fertilizer when alfalfa is planted. Annual soil testing and recommended K fertilizer applications will be crucial to ensuring that this critically important nutrient is not deficient. Tissue analyses taken prior to early summer harvests will help verify that K levels in the plant are maintained at or slightly above sufficiency levels (1.8 to 2.0 percent).

Multiple K applications will be more efficiently used by plants and will ensure that an adequate supply is available throughout the growing season. On heavy soils in the Piedmont and Mountain regions, two (spring and fall) or three (spring, summer, and fall) applications during the growing season are recommended. Sandy soils in the Coastal Plain do not hold K as well as the heavier soils in north Georgia. As a result, more frequent K applications are recommended. Four applications (late winter, early summer, late summer, and fall) should be sufficient. The fall application will ensure that the plants have adequate K for winter survival.

Sulfur

Sulfur (S) is critical to protein formation, N-fixation, and maintaining root growth. Sulfur may become a limiting nutrient in plants like alfalfa that have high levels of nitrogen in their tissues. In Georgia, the need for S varies considerably. In the soil, S is held and released from organic matter and, once mineralized, can easily leach out of sandy soils.

Much of the S available to the plant results from atmospheric deposition of S being released during the burning of fossil fuels (coal, gas, diesel, etc.). Sulfur is absorbed through the roots as sulfate (SO_4) or through the leaves as sulfur dioxide (SO_2) gas. Another substantial source of S has historically been blended fertilizers that contained S as a by-product. Deficiencies of this nutrient have occurred more frequently in recent years because of a shift to the use of high-analysis phosphate fertilizers that contain only small quantities of sulfur and because of S removal from the emissions of coal- and lignite-burning electricity generation plants.

When alfalfa is grown on soils deficient in S, protein formation is retarded and upper leaves (including veins) turn light yellow. Growth is stunted and maturity is delayed as deficiencies become more severe. Leaves may become long and slender. Sulfur deficiencies are similar to nitrogen deficiencies except that with nitrogen deficiencies, the younger leaves turn yellow before the older leaves.

Sandy soils are more likely to be deficient in S than heavier soils. Sulfur may be deficient on soils that contain little organic matter or where such factors as cool soil temperatures, drought, or low pH cause slow decomposition of organic matter. If these conditions exist or S deficiency is suspected, a tissue analysis can assess S levels. If needed, applying 10 to 30 pounds of S per year should be adequate for alfalfa.

Boron

Alfalfa needs very small quantities of boron (B), but a severe deficiency of this nutrient can cause yield reductions of more than two tons of dry matter per acre. If soil B levels are inadequate, deficiencies may appear. Symptoms of B deficiency appear first at the shoot tips (terminal portion of shoots) where rapid growth is occurring. The leaves turn yellow and sometimes red at the tips, while the lower leaves remain green. Retarded growth at the shoot tips causes growth at buds located lower on the shoot. This growth pattern causes the plant to exhibit a rosette appearance.

Boron deficiency in alfalfa may be prevented by applying boron to the soil. An annual application of B (three lbs. of B per acre) is recommended and should be applied with other fertilizer materials as a topdressing in the late winter. Boron may also be applied as a foliar spray using a soluble source of B such as Solubor (20.5 percent B) in 25 to 30 gallons of water per acre. Do not apply more than 1/2- to one lb. of B per acre as a foliar spray. Higher rates can cause leaf burn.

Molybdenum

Molybdenum (Mo) is required for adequate nodulation and nitrogen fixation. Deficiencies are frequently observed on acid soils because Mo becomes less available as the soil pH decreases. Deficiency symptoms are similar to those of nitrogen deficiency. Growth is reduced and plants turn a pale yellow. Lower leaves may die and drop prematurely. Molybdenum is the only plant-essential micronutrient that becomes increasingly available as soil pH is raised by liming. To prevent Mo deficiency, lime the soil to increase pH to recommended ranges. An application of Mo (eight oz. of sodium molybdate in 25 gallons of water per acre is recommended) should be made every other year in the spring when alfalfa is six to eight inches tall.

Calcium

Calcium (Ca) deficiencies are rare under field conditions if soil pH is above 6.0. Compared with grasses, Ca levels in alfalfa are relatively high. Older leaves contain a higher percentage of the element than younger ones. Calcium deficiency results in leaves that are distorted at the growing points and shoot tips that wither and die. If limestone is applied to correct soil pH before planting and if soil test recommendations are followed in succeeding years, Ca deficiencies are unlikely.

Magnesium

Magnesium (Mg) deficiency is most likely to occur on acid, sandy soils low in Mg. Magnesium deficiency causes yellowing between the veins of leaves and generally is first observed in older leaves. Use of dolomitic limestone as the liming material will increase soil magnesium and reduce the possibility of deficiencies. In fields with an optimum or high soil pH and low soil Mg, apply 25 to 50 lbs. of Mg per acre. Sulfate of potash-magnesia contains 22 percent K₂O, 22 percent S, and 11 percent Mg, and is a good material for soil applications of Mg, as well as K and S. An Mg deficiency could be confused with iron deficiency in plants, except that iron deficiency is first observed in newer leaves.

Manganese

Most soils with a pH of 6.5 to 7.0 supply adequate manganese (Mn) for alfalfa. As a result, Mn deficiencies are rare in alfalfa, except when a stand is subjected to poor drainage. This may occur in depressions in the field where the soil pH may be higher than in surrounding areas. Applying 10 to 15 lbs. of Mn per acre on mineral soils will usually correct known deficiencies. Foliar applications will be required if the deficiency is severe. Leaves of Mn deficient plants exhibit a mottled yellowing between the veins similar to iron or Mg deficiencies, but the veins never turn yellow.

Zinc

Most soils contain adequate zinc (Zn) for alfalfa production. Deficiencies are more likely to occur on the more weathered, coarse-textured soils in the Coastal Plain. Soil test to determine the Zn level in the soil and apply three lbs. of elemental Zn per acre if the soil level is low. Zinc deficiencies may cause abnormal root tips and dwarfed vegetative growth. Bronze spots that later become white and necrotic may appear around the leaf margin. Moderate Zn stress in alfalfa may be difficult to recognize because symptoms appear erratically and growth is only moderately stunted.

Detecting Deficiencies

Nutrient deficiencies may be caused by several factors, including a lack of nutrients in the soil or a lack of nutrient uptake due to restricted root absorption. Deficiency symptoms may also occur even though the plant is able to absorb the nutrients. This results when transport or utilization of the nutrient within the plant is blocked.

Remember: At least 16 nutrient elements are essential for healthy plant growth, but a deficiency of only one of these can negatively affect plant growth. Nutrient deficiencies may show up in one of several ways: Some alfalfa fields may not show any change in appearance even though deficiencies are present; some may only have reduced yields; and still others may have both visible deficiency symptoms and reduced yields. It is better

to prevent nutrient deficiencies through a balanced, proactive fertility program than to correct deficiencies once symptoms appear.

Plant Analysis

Annual soil sampling and testing provides the basis for the soil fertility program. However, it is also recommended that the soil test information be supplemented with occasional plant tissue analyses. Tissue samples should be obtained from alfalfa fields in late June or early July within one to two weeks of a harvest. Analyzing samples at the time of the growing season can help assess macro- and micro-nutrient levels and identify potential deficiencies in time to correct them before they have lasting effects. In severe cases of nutrient deficiency, plant analysis should only be viewed as a diagnostic aid.

Sampling Procedures

Samples should be obtained by clipping the top six inches of growth prior to or at 1/10 bloom stage (i.e., when 10 percent of the shoots have one or more flowers). Obtain clippings in this way from 40 to 50 areas in the field or from each management zone. Combine the clipped material into one sample and air-dry for one-half day. **Do not put fresh plant tissue into polyethylene or tightly-sealed paper envelopes.** Moisture loss from plants in tightly-sealed containers will transfer nutrients out of the plants and distort the analytical results of the plant tissue. If a plant analysis kit is not available, an ordinary paper bag or envelope is a suitable container. The sample should be relatively free of dust and soil particles. Wash the fresh tissue gently with clean tap water and a clean rag or sponge and blot dry. **Plants that are diseased, damaged by insects, or under moisture stress should not be sampled for plant analysis.** Dead plant tissue should not be collected with a sample or used for diagnostic purposes.

The University of Georgia has an excellent plant analysis service. Information and plant analysis mailing kits are available from your county Extension office.

Irrigation Management

Alfalfa uses a substantial amount of water to produce high yields. Fortunately, alfalfa is exceptionally deep rooted and can access water stored deep in the soil profile. However, very few sites will have soils that are capable of holding and providing the amount of water necessary to maximize alfalfa production, unless the crop is irrigated or consistently receives adequate rainfall.

Evapotranspiration (ET), or the amount of water that evaporates from plant surfaces and the soil, is affected by many weather-related variables such as temperature and relative humidity, and by the growth rate/maturity of the plant. In Georgia, ET rates during the summer generally are 0.20 to 0.25 inches of water per acre per day. This means that alfalfa may use one acre inch of water every four to five days at peak demand. Despite relatively high precipitation rates, irrigation will be needed to supplement rainfall during the summer in most cases.

Yield losses in alfalfa may occur when soil moisture content drops below 50 percent of the soil's available water-holding capacity. This yield loss cannot be recovered by additional irrigation after the damage has been done. Therefore, it is important to maintain soil moisture above this level.

To prevent unnecessary irrigation, alfalfa producers should schedule their irrigations. Irrigation scheduling can be accomplished by estimating soil moisture using the water balance method, wherein water inputs (rain or irrigation) are balanced against water outputs (the ET demand).

The number of factors that must be considered when scheduling irrigation using the water balance method can result in substantial errors when too many "guesstimates" and bad assumptions are made. Therefore, it is critical to use irrigation scheduling calculators, the best available weather data and ET estimates (e.g., from www.georgiaweather.net), and routine checks of actual field conditions using a soil probe or shovel. Additionally, soil moisture can be directly measured by properly installing and calibrating soil moisture monitoring devices in representative locations within the field. More information about developing an irrigation scheduling system for alfalfa or other crops can be found at the University of Georgia's Stripling Irrigation Research Park website www.nespal.org/sirp/.

Irrigation practices also must factor in the timing of alfalfa harvests, especially if the crop is to be dried for hay. Excess soil moisture will severely delay curing rates of hay crops. Wet soils may also lead to substantial damage and soil compaction from heavy machinery operations or hoof traffic. For heavy-textured, clay-type soils, do not irrigate for at least four to five days prior to cutting the alfalfa hay. In lighter-textured, sandy soil types, this interval may only need to be two to three days. Irrigation (as required) should begin again as soon as possible after harvest. If moisture stress occurs during early regrowth, severe yield losses can occur.



A center pivot irrigation system sits ready to irrigate one-week-old regrowth on an alfalfa field in Coffee County, GA

Harvest Management

Alfalfa can produce high yields of high-quality forage for several years. However, the yield, quality, and persistence of alfalfa are all highly dependent upon several harvest management factors.

Harvest Timing Affects Yield, Quality, and Persistence

Harvest management of alfalfa requires the manager to balance yield, quality, and persistence. Maximum forage yield in alfalfa will occur at about the time the plant reaches the full-flower maturity stage (Figure 1). However, this is **NOT** the ideal stage for cutting alfalfa because forage quality declines as the plant matures. One reason for this is that the more highly-digestible leaf material makes up less of the total yield. Secondly, as alfalfa matures, the forage becomes more fibrous and harder to digest (Table 2).

When to Harvest

Alfalfa should be harvested at the early bloom/flower stage of growth (when 10 percent of plants have flowers).

Harvesting at this growth stage represents the best compromise between forage yield, forage quality, and maintaining healthy stands. After the first cutting is taken, alfalfa will usually reach the early bloom stage every 28 to 35 days. The first cutting depends on weather and the variety's dormancy rating. In general, alfalfa growers in south Georgia may be able to make their first cutting in early April, while it may be as late as mid-May before the first cutting occurs in the north Georgia Mountains. Because of the differences in the length of growing season, alfalfa is usually cut five to seven times per year in south Georgia but only four to five times per year in north Georgia. In the seedling year, the first cutting likely will be delayed about one month behind older-growth stands.




Figure 1. The relative effect of advancing alfalfa maturity stages on total digestible nutrients (TDN) and leaf, stem, and total yield.

Table 2. Expected range in forage quality[†] for alfalfa at various maturity stages.[‡]

Stage of Maturity	CP	NDF	ADF	TDN	RFQ
Vegetative	24-27	25-37	20-27	68-75	230-300
Bud	22-26	38-47	28-32	64-67	160-250
Early bloom	18-22	42-50	32-36	61-64	125-180
Mid-bloom	14-18	46-55	36-40	58-61	100-150
Late bloom	9-13	56-60	41-43	50-57	90-110

[†] Alfalfa will often be higher in fiber concentration, less digestible, and have lower relative forage quality when subjected to higher temperatures or soil moisture stress.

Abbreviations: CP = crude protein; NDF = neutral detergent fiber; ADF = acid detergent fiber; TDN = total digestible nutrients; RFQ = relative forage quality.

[‡]Adapted from Ball et al, 2007. Southern Forages, 4th Edition.

Exceptions to harvesting at the early bloom growth stage:

1. The first cutting of a stand that was planted the previous fall should not be taken until the plants are in the mid-bloom stage (25 percent of plants have flowers). This will allow the root system and crown to be more fully developed and will prevent excessive losses early in the stand's life. If the root system and crown were well developed prior to the onset of winter, cutting at the early bloom stage will be acceptable.
2. The first harvest in spring can be made from mature stands at the bud growth stage (just before flowers appear) without negatively affecting the stand. Do NOT harvest at the bud stage when the stand was planted the previous fall.
3. During late summer (July and August) in south Georgia, delay one harvest until the mid-to-late bloom stage (25 to 50 percent of plants have flowers). Delaying harvest will allow the plants to fully rebuild root carbohydrate reserves. Growing conditions are usually very stressful during this period, and a delayed harvest can be made with little effect on annual yield of digestible nutrients.

The Effect of Harvesting Too Soon

Alfalfa plants store carbohydrates, protein, and other nutrient reserves in the crown and upper part of the tap root (Figure 2). Carbohydrate and protein reserves are required by the plant for rapid regrowth after it has been harvested. The plant mobilizes these reserves and will continue to live off of these nutrients until enough leaf area is created to sustain the plant's growth. As a plant matures, it replenishes these nutrient reserves in preparation for the next regrowth. This cycle is repeated each time the crop is cut.




Figure 2. The relative effect of advancing alfalfa maturity on the root reserves when alfalfa is harvested at early bloom or bud stage.

Cutting too early, before root carbohydrate reserves are replenished, will result in alfalfa that has not built up enough root reserves to support vigorous regrowth. If the alfalfa is continually harvested before the bud stage and continually unable to replenish the root reserves, the plants may fail to initiate regrowth or over-winter and the stand will be reduced.

Harvesting too soon is most damaging to the crop during the hottest part of the summer. When under heat stress (particularly when nighttime temperatures are in excess of 80° F), alfalfa does not efficiently produce and store carbohydrates. This is the primary reason why delaying at least one harvest during this period can improve stand vigor.

Regrowth after Harvest

Regrowth after harvest may occur from two sites. The first site is at the crown buds, which elongate and form new shoots. Usually, some crown buds will start to grow and may be one to two inches tall before the crop is ready to harvest. If they are not clipped when the crop is harvested, regrowth will be very rapid. This is the reason a cutting height two to four inches above the soil surface is recommended. The second site for regrowth is the axillary buds on the lower portion (below the cutting height) of stems that were harvested. Unless the mowing height is too low, these buds can provide significant regrowth.

It is critical to get alfalfa that is cut for hay cured and removed promptly. Alfalfa hay that fails to cure within four to six days of cutting may slow the rate of regrowth. Similarly, alfalfa should be irrigated (as required) as soon as possible after the previous crop has been removed. Moist soil conditions at the initiation of regrowth helps to determine the number of shoots per square foot — a key component of alfalfa yield.

When to Make the Last Harvest in the Fall

Because Georgia does not normally experience the same severe winters and long-term snow cover as other alfalfa-producing regions, there is more flexibility in fall management programs. However, some considerations differ substantially between north and south Georgia.

North Georgia

Winters in the Limestone Valley, Mountains, and Piedmont regions can be relatively cold, and low temperatures occur earlier in the fall than in south Georgia. Varieties in dormancy groups 4, 5, and 6 will usually not go completely dormant (except at higher altitudes in the mountains) in north Georgia. However, the frequent low temperatures greatly restrict alfalfa growth in the winter and early cold snaps can greatly impact root reserves prior to the onset of winter.

Fall management for alfalfa in north Georgia is critical and should be done in a way that allows the plant to store enough root reserves to survive the winter. In general, this is done by not harvesting after late September in the Mountains or early October in the Piedmont.

A final “freeze-down” harvest can be made after plant tops have been killed by temperatures of 25° F or lower. Since forage quality deteriorates rapidly after freezing, harvest within two weeks of plants freezing. Evidences of freezing are yellowing, browning, and curling of leaves and permanent wilting.

Harvesting residue after a killing frost can be beneficial for preventing insect and disease problems in the spring. Alfalfa weevil eggs are deposited in stems during the late fall and many will be removed by a freeze-down harvest, reducing alfalfa weevil populations and damage in the spring. Removing this residue also tends to reduce the severity of some cool-season foliar and stem diseases that affect the spring regrowth.

South Georgia

Most alfalfa varieties grown in the Coastal Plain are in dormancy groups 7 and 8, with some 9s along the Florida border. These cultivars will usually maintain active growth well into late November or early December, slow (but not go completely dormant) in January and February, and initiate regrowth in mid-February or early March. Cold temperatures (less than 25° F) will kill back top growth but regrowth occurs from the crown with the return of warmer weather. Frequently, only the taller stems will be frozen. Temperatures are usually warmer near the soil surface and shorter growth is not severely damaged.

Going into the winter with a high level of root reserves is a good idea. Schedule the last harvest to allow about 30 days of regrowth before temperatures below 25° F are expected. As a rule of thumb, targeting between November 1 and 15 as the cut-off date for the post-freeze harvest works well.

Curing and Producing High-Quality Alfalfa Hay

Producing high-quality alfalfa hay requires excellent management, especially since hay production in Georgia is often a race against the weather. In addition to cutting the crop at the correct stage of growth, it is important to utilize tools that lessen the time it takes to dry the forage. These tools also must be used in ways that minimize the loss of the high-quality leaves.

Cutting Method

Strategies for drying alfalfa begin with the harvest implement. Alfalfa harvested for hay should be cut with a mower-conditioner, which usually allows the forage to dry 20 to 30 percent faster than when no conditioning is used (Table 3). The effect on drying rate is greatest during the first one to two days after the forage is cut. To maximize drying on the day of cutting, alfalfa to be cured for hay should be cut as soon as the dew is off in the morning.

Table 3. The average relative drying rate of alfalfa during the first two days after cutting if it was subjected to a rubber crimping roll-, steel flail-, or plastic flail-type conditioner and subsequently tedded or allowed to dry without tedding.*

	Relative Drying Rate	
	Not-Tedded	Tedded
	----- difference from control -----	
No conditioner	**	+17%
Rubber crimping roll	+18%	+48%
Steel flail	+24%	+50%
Plastic V-flail	+14%	+35%

* Adapted from Borreani et al., 1999. Agron. J. 91:457–463.

** The changes in drying rates are set relative to the control treatment (no conditioner, not-tedded).

For alfalfa, it is best to use a mower-conditioner that presses and crimps the forage between two inter-meshing, chevron-shaped rollers. These crimping rollers crush the stems and allow the drying rate of the stem to more closely match the faster-drying rate of the leaves. This creates more uniformity in the drying rate of the forage, which helps to reduce leaf losses during other hay curing steps.

The most common mower-conditioner in Georgia is the steel flail-type conditioner, which uses V-shaped flails to help strip away some of the waxy coating from the plant material as the forage is being harvested. Although this works well for fine-stemmed grass crops, flail-type conditioners can cause significant leaf losses that lower yield and crop quality (Figure 3, page 18).



A mower-conditioner cutting alfalfa.




Figure 3. The effect of conditioning treatment (disc-mower with no conditioner, rubber crimping roller-, steel flail-, or plastic flail-type conditioner) on average yield losses, as a percent of the total yield.*

* Adapted from Borreani et al., 1999. *Agron. J.* 91:457–463.

What is the Right Time of Day to Cut Alfalfa?

The time of day when alfalfa is cut may seem unimportant, but it has significant implications for drying time and, in some cases, forage quality. Therefore, for Georgia conditions, it is recommended that alfalfa be cut early in the day (as soon as the dew is off). Three key facts need to be considered to understand this recommendation:

1. Respiration by the plant (and any microbes acting on the plant) will continue to use sugars and other carbohydrates until the plant moisture drops below 48 percent.
2. Moisture loss from the plant occurs primarily via open stomata (tiny holes in the leaf that allow moisture, O₂, and CO₂ exchange with the surrounding air), even after the plant is cut.
3. Alfalfa stomata are open during the day and close at night or when they are excessively shaded.

Because of respiration losses, it is critical to dry the alfalfa crop down to below 48 percent moisture as soon as possible. If alfalfa is cut during the morning, the stomata will be open and the crop will lose moisture faster than if the crop is cut late in the day or at night. This rapid moisture loss will be even greater if the swath is laid out as wide as possible to maximize surface area and to minimize shading (which will cause stomata to shut). If the crop is cut in the morning and the forage is spread out, alfalfa may actually drop below the 48 percent moisture level by the end of the first day or early on the following day.

Since the later drying phase (from 48 percent down to 16 to 18 percent moisture) can be rather slow, anything that can allow the crop to enter into the last phase of drying sooner will help the crop dry out more quickly. Cutting alfalfa early in the morning will take full advantage of alfalfa's physiology to get it to dry. Catching several days in a row that grant good drying weather in Georgia is generally rare. Consequently, any drying advantage that can be gained should be taken.

Tedding

The process of tedding (turning and fluffing the forage) greatly accelerates the drying rate of alfalfa, especially when used in combination with a mower-conditioner (Table 3). However, tedders can cause leaf loss if the crop is too dry. Therefore, it is important to appropriately time the use of the tedder and prevent excessive quality losses. Usually, it is best to ted alfalfa hay in the morning the day after the forage is cut. When tugged at this time, the forage will still be relatively moist (greater than 50 percent moisture) and tedding should have a minimal effect on leaf loss. Additional teddings may be useful; however, care should always be taken to minimize leaf losses retain forage quality. This risk is best minimized when tedding operations are performed in the morning and completed before or soon after the dew is off.



Tedding must be done carefully, otherwise the high-quality leaves may be knocked off and yields and quality will suffer.

Raking

Raking accumulates the forage swaths into windrows for baling. Like tedding, raking operations can cause excessive leaf losses if they are improperly timed. For alfalfa, it is critical to rake when the forage is at approximately 40 percent moisture. The curing process will be completed in the windrow and the crop will retain more leaves than if it is raked dry. If the hay becomes too dry before windrowing, excessive shattering of leaves will occur. Under these conditions, rake at night or early in the morning when the leaves have absorbed moisture from the air. Parallel-bar rakes usually result in less damage to the crop than wheel rakes, but must be operated at a relatively slow ground speed.



A wheel rake parked at the edge of an alfalfa field in Coffee County, GA after the hay has been harvested.

Baling

Baling packages the hay in a transportable form; however, bales must be sufficiently dry before they are formed. Baling when the moisture is too high will increase mold growth, reduce quality, and cause excessive heating or even spontaneous combustion.



A square baler baling alfalfa in a field in Coffee County, GA



Weight square bales in the field with a calf scale to ensure they are hitting the target weight for your market.

Most alfalfa hay in Georgia is baled in small rectangular (“square”) bales. Square bales of alfalfa are typically more acceptable in the commercial hay market because of their lighter weight (usually less than 75 lbs.) and ease of handling in small lots. Small square bale systems can be more labor intensive than the larger package hay systems, though numerous labor-saving devices exist for handling, loading, and unloading small bales. Square bales usually are less dense than larger bale systems. As a result, they can be baled at slightly higher moistures than the large bale systems. Even so, to prevent excessive heating during storage, alfalfa should not be baled in small squares until the crop has dried to a moisture content of 18 percent or less.

Large round balers that produce cylindrical bales are commonplace in Georgia grass hay operations. These balers can also be used to bale alfalfa. However, the major disadvantage to these bales is that their marketing options are more limited. Also, these bales should not be formed until the forage moisture is less than 15 percent, since these bales are dense and less able to allow moisture and heat to diffuse from the forage once the bale is formed.

Similarly, alfalfa may also be baled in large rectangular bales. These bales are usually as dense as the large round bales. As a result, alfalfa should be less than 15 percent moisture when large rectangular balers are used. Handling and marketing problems are comparable to those of the large round bales.

Hay Preservatives

Alfalfa can be baled at higher moisture levels (20 to 25 percent) when a hay preservative is used. Fewer leaves will be lost from shattering during baling operations at 20 to 25 percent moisture than at 15 to 18 percent moisture. Hay preservatives are usually sprayed or spread on the windrow via an applicator mounted on the baler. When the preservatives are applied according to manufacturer recommendations, treated hay is safe to feed to all livestock.

There are three general types of hay preservatives: organic acids, ammonia and ammonia-based products, and microbial additives. The use of propionic acid has been proven effective. Newer formulations contain buffering solutions that minimize the corrosive effect that these chemicals have on equipment. Ammonia and ammonia-based preservatives are generally used on lower-quality forage crops to obtain a crude protein increase and are not economically feasible for alfalfa. Many microbial products have been promoted recently for use as hay preservatives, but the effectiveness of these products under conditions in Georgia remain relatively unknown and are therefore not recommended.



Hay preservative being applied to alfalfa hay as it is being baled.



Hay preservatives can cause excessive corrosion on equipment.

Storage

Alfalfa hay should always be stored under a shelter. Alfalfa bales will not shed water as well as bales of grass hay, and excessive storage losses occur when bales are stored outside. Also, bales should be stacked in a way that allows for some air movement through the stack.

Alfalfa as a Silage Crop

Ensiling alfalfa has certain advantages for producers who can use silage in their feeding programs. Unfavorable weather conditions that can cause significant losses in hay production are less of a problem. Fewer field losses (from leaf losses and respiration of plant tissue during drying) occur and a higher percentage of the nutrients in the forage can be preserved. Silage can be preserved for a long time and feeding can be mechanized to reduce labor requirements.

Crops such as corn have a naturally high level of soluble carbohydrates and ferment rapidly. This produces a great deal of lactic acid which lowers the pH and stabilizes the silage. Alfalfa, like other legumes, does not contain a high level of carbohydrates for natural fermentation, which limits the amount of lactic acid produced. Compounds within the alfalfa also act as a buffer, making the attainment of a low and stable pH even more difficult.

Under unfavorable conditions, poor fermentation resulting in low palatability, unpleasant odors and excessive storage losses can occur. However, alfalfa can achieve a good natural fermentation when properly managed.

Types of Silage

Alfalfa silage is generally created in one of three ways: direct cut, wilted and chopped, or wilted and baled. Standing alfalfa can be directly chopped and ensiled in conventional silos, but the high moisture content (80 percent or greater) will result in excessive effluent, and unpalatable silage may result from unfavorable fermentation. Adding a carbohydrate source such as crushed corn or molasses to the silage during the filling operation will usually improve fermentation and reduce seepage losses.

Cutting alfalfa and letting the crop wilt in the field to 65 to 70 percent moisture before chopping and ensiling will improve preservation. Only one to four hours of wilting will be required under average drying conditions.



Wilted alfalfa being chopped for silage.



Alfalfa being baled for silage.

Wilted silage packs well and produces little or no effluent. Silage in this moisture range can be stored in horizontal silos, but losses of dry matter and nutrients will be greater than when silage is stored in upright silos.

Alternatively, alfalfa can be wilted and then baled using a large round baler. These bales can be wrapped with stretch-wrap plastic to exclude oxygen and then be ensiled. It is critical that the wrapper applies sufficient plastic to the bales. In-line wrappers that form a tube need to apply six to eight layers of stretch-wrap to each bale, with up to 10 layers

applied at the locations where bales abut one another in the tube. Individual bale wrappers should apply a minimum of four layers, but six layers are recommended for bales that will be stored for more than six months. Silage bales should not be moved or handled until they are to be fed. Silage bales can be handled like large round hay bales, but silage bales are usually twice as heavy as the same size hay bales. Therefore, care should be taken to ensure that all equipment (balers, bale forks/spears, bale slicers, tub grinders, etc.) are capable of handling the heavier weight. Also, bale wrapping for hay must be done on-site where storage and feeding will occur. Dropping these wrapped bales off the wrapper and into a storage row with no additional handling is preferred. If wrapped bales must be moved to the storage site, any holes or damage to the plastic must be patched and sealed immediately.



In-line hay wrapper.



Individual bale wrapper.

Grazing Alfalfa

For many years, alfalfa was not considered capable of withstanding substantial grazing pressure. However, forage breeding efforts by Dr. Joe Bouton at the University of Georgia between the late 1980s and 2000s resulted in several grazing-tolerant varieties and “dual-use” (grazing or hay) alfalfa varieties that are now available. However, these varieties still must be correctly managed to maintain productive stands.

When managing any alfalfa for grazing, some fundamentals must be kept in mind to ensure success. First, carefully select the grazing-tolerant variety. Any grazing-tolerant variety should have data showing its grazing performance. Ask for this information and use it to decide which variety to select.

Secondly, obtain a strong alfalfa stand. Any grazing-tolerant variety will be subject to the same soil and management needs of hay-type alfalfas and must be treated as such. Use recommended establishment and management procedures for alfalfa in your area.



Grazing alfalfa provides high-quality pasture.

Thirdly, **prevent bloat by never allowing hungry animals onto alfalfa.** Ruminants can bloat on alfalfa. This usually occurs when an animal that has either been without feed or has an empty rumen is allowed to gorge on fresh alfalfa. It is best to feed a supplement containing monensin (Rumensin) and/or a chemical bloat preventative (Bloat Guard) to minimize the risk of bloat.

Finally, use some type of managed grazing (i.e., management-intensive grazing or rotational grazing). If a variety is truly grazing-tolerant, it should survive and perform well even under fairly substantial grazing pressures. However, the efficiency of alfalfa production is greatest when the stand is allowed to accumulate 10 to 16 inches of growth (usually early bloom stage), grazed to a stubble height of two to four inches in less than four days, and then allowed to rest for 15 to 30 days (or the time it takes to reach the grazing initiation height or early bloom stage). Longer grazing periods will cause stand loss, as grazers trample new growth buds.

The use of easy-to-move electric fences has made managed grazing easier for many producers. Managed grazing allows better control over the forage supply and reduces waste. In managed grazing, the efficiency of the system and the level of management increase when animals are rotated among more and smaller pastures (paddocks). However, if rotating animals among several small pastures is not feasible, using alfalfa for creep and limited grazing can allow the producer to give access only to those livestock that need it, with very little routine management effort.

For more information about managed grazing systems, visit our “Management-intensive Grazing” Web site at <http://www.caes.uga.edu/Topics/sustainag/grazing/index.html>.



Alfalfa pastures in Tift County, Ga.



A creep-grazing gate allows calves to access an alfalfa field in Tift County, Ga.

Weed Control

Alfalfa is a vigorous, long-lived perennial forage that provides good ground cover from early spring until late fall. The plant recovers rapidly after harvesting and is a good competitor with most weeds.

Weeds are likely to be a problem during the establishment year and in subsequent years as stands thin or growth is slowed due to unfavorable moisture, low fertility, or poor harvest management. A good weed control program will increase stand productivity and, in many cases, extend the useful life of the stand.

Pre-Emergence

Fall-planted alfalfa is susceptible to competition from winter annual grasses (e.g., little barley, rescuegrass, annual ryegrass, etc.) and winter annual broadleaf weeds (e.g., chickweed, henbit, various mustard species, Carolina geranium, etc.). Though these weeds may seriously interfere with stand establishment in fall-planted alfalfa, summer annual and perennial weeds are much more aggressive in Georgia and make it difficult for spring-planted alfalfa to compete. This is one of the major reasons spring plantings of alfalfa are NOT recommended in Georgia, while northern and mid-western states recommend spring plantings.

Pre-plant herbicides that are incorporated into the soil immediately before planting are recommended in the Georgia Pest Management Handbook (<http://www.ent.uga.edu/pmh/>). These herbicides can provide excellent control of both grass and broadleaf weeds. The response of specific weeds to specific herbicides can be found in weed response tables in the Georgia Pest Management Handbook.

Some herbicides may cause temporary injury to seedling alfalfa but plants usually recover quickly with no lasting effects. Injury, which is expressed as stunted plants with leaves that do not unfold (margin leaf-sealing), is most likely to occur on sandy or coarse-textured soils.

Post-Emergence

Weed populations may interfere with the growth and development of alfalfa seedlings, particularly if pre-emergence treatments were omitted or if environmental conditions reduced the effectiveness of pre-emergence treatments. A limited choice of herbicides may be safely used on alfalfa seedlings after the three-leaf stage during the winter or early spring of the year of establishment. Apply treatments to small weeds as soon as alfalfa reaches minimum size, provided temperatures are warm enough to permit good activity (50° to 55° F minimum). Consult the current Georgia Pest Management Handbook for specific treatments and suggestions for proper use.

Weeds may encroach on alfalfa stands after hay harvesting begins, especially after the stand is three to four years old. Winter weeds may be especially troublesome, as alfalfa growth is less vigorous during the winter and less competitive in preventing weed establishment. A good selection of contact and translocated herbicides is available for post-emergence application to dormant or semi-dormant alfalfa. Applications are usually made in January or early February to control winter annual grasses and broadleaf weeds, in order to eliminate weed contamination in the first hay harvest each year.



Post-emergence herbicide application on alfalfa.

During summer periods after hay harvest, alfalfa is especially vulnerable to germination and establishment of annual grasses and broadleaf weeds. Summer annual grasses or broadleaf weeds are usually best controlled by applications between the time the forage is harvested and the alfalfa begins to regrow. Selected herbicides, outlined in the Georgia Pest Management Handbook, are available that can kill the weeds with minimal impact on alfalfa regrowth rate or yields.

Common bermudagrass is a particularly troublesome weed in established alfalfa. Currently-labeled chemicals may suppress this weed, but at labeled application rates will not kill common bermudagrass, even with sequential applications up to the allowable maximum application rate. Therefore, this weed should be well-controlled before planting alfalfa, and must be followed by application of a reliable pre-emergent herbicide.

Diseases

A number of diseases that affect alfalfa can kill seedlings, limit yields, reduce the quality of the forage, and shorten stand life. This section offers a brief overview of eight diseases (or disease complexes) that have been summarized from a number of other publications that describe these and other diseases in greater detail and aid diagnosis. These publications include the *Alfalfa Analyst* (published by the National Alfalfa and Forage Alliance and available at <http://www.alfalfa.org/pdf/AlfalfaAnalyst.pdf>); the Kentucky Integrated Pest Management: Alfalfa Diseases Page (<http://www.uky.edu/Ag/IPM/scoutinfo/alfalfa/disease/dislist.htm>) and the more detailed *Compendium of Alfalfa Diseases* (published by APS Press).

Anthracnose

Affected Areas	Stems (initially), crowns (eventually).
Symptoms	Large, sunken, oval- to diamond-shaped lesions on stems. Lesions enlarge, join other lesions, and can girdle the stem(s).
Scouting Recommendations	Examine fields every 4 weeks in mid-summer and fall (July 15 to Oct. 1). Examine plants within a 20 foot radius.
Disease Severity Scale	0 = no symptoms on stems or crowns of any plants. 1 = a few lesions found on some stems of a few plants, but no crown infections, little or no death of plants. 2 = lesions found on a number of plants, some dead, straw-colored stems scattered throughout the area. 3 = lesions observed on most stems of most plants, numerous dead stems found, thinning of stand apparent with anthracnose symptoms associated with crowns of dead or dying plants. (If entire crowns are dead, indicate as such.)
Comments	Infected crowns turn blue-black, produce fewer stems per plant, and the plant eventually dies. Moderate or higher resistance is available in many varieties.

Aphanomyces Root Rot

Affected Areas	Seedlings
Symptoms	Seedlings (usually in wet soils) develop yellow cotyledons, chlorosis of other leaflets. Underside of leaflets may be reddened.
Scouting Recommendations	Examine plants in the field about 6 to 8 weeks after seeding. Examine five sites at least 10 to 20 feet from the field edge, especially in wetter areas of the field.
Disease Severity Scale	0 = no plants diseased. 1 = less than 25% of plants in the site have modest stunting and yellowing. 2 = more than 25% of plants are showing symptoms; some scattered plants may show severe stunting. 3 = more than half of the plants at the site are extremely stunted (less than two inches tall) six weeks after seeding.
Comments	Avoid poorly-drained sites. Select resistant varieties. There are two races. Most resistance is to Race 1. Occasionally, resistance to Race 2 is needed.

Crown and Root Rot Complex

Affected Areas	Crowns and taproots
Symptoms	Infected plants have leaves that wilt, turn yellow, then become bleached, often with a reddish tint. Crowns and roots contain reddish or brown rotting tissue.
Scouting Recommendations	Examine plants every 4 weeks from April to October. Examine any suspicious-looking plants within 100 feet of the monitoring site.
Disease Severity Scale	0 = no infected plants; good, healthy stand. 1 = one plant has symptoms, appearance of some missing plants in stand. 2 = between 2 and 10% of plants infected; several areas where stand slightly thinned. 3 = more than 10% of plants infected, severe thinning of stands.
Comments	Minimize crown injury by avoiding traffic or grazing when soil is wet. Maintain good soil fertility (esp. K). Allow adequate regrowth between cuttings (4 to 6 weeks). Control leaf-feeding insects.

Leaf Spot Complex

Affected Areas	Leaves and stems
Symptoms	Leaf spots that are tan, brown, or black; circular to oblong; may be raised above the leaf surface. Several types of leaf spots are common in alfalfa (e.g., common leaf spot, leptos leaf spot, etc.). Leaves with several or many spots may turn yellow and fall to the ground.
Scouting Recommendations	Examine plants in the field every week after the plant reaches late vegetative stages between mid-March and Sept.
Disease Severity Scale	0 = no affected plants. 1 = a few lower leaves contain spots, but little or no defoliation. 2 = many leaves contain spots, some premature defoliation. 3 = leaves and stems peppered with spots, many leaves fallen on ground, all plants affected.
Comments	Fields receiving an average rating of 2 or more should be harvested early (but not before bud stage). Currently available varieties have little resistance.

Sclerotinia Crown and Stem Rot

Affected Areas	Leaves and stems (initially), crowns (eventually).
Symptoms	Brown spots on leaves and stems, affected stems wilt and die, and the fungus infects the crown. When moist, a cottony fungus grows over diseased areas. Black bodies called sclerotia, about the size of BBs, form in this fungus growth or on dead stems.
Scouting Recommendations	Examine plants in the field every 2 to 4 weeks from mid-Feb. - April.
Disease Severity Scale	0 = no affected plants. 1 = only 1 to 2% of plants show typical symptoms. 2 = 2 to 15% of plants affected. 3 = more than 15% of plants affected.
Comments	Damage is least severe in fields established using conventional tillage. Use Sclerotia-free seeds when planting. More likely in the Georgia Mountains or in very mild winters and springs.

Spring Black Stem and Leaf Spot

Affected Areas	Leaves and stems
Symptoms	Small, irregularly-shaped, black to dark brown spots develop on the lower leaves and stems. Diseased leaves turn yellow, wither, then fall. Lesions on the stems may girdle and blacken large areas near the base of the plant.
Scouting Recommendations	Examine plants in the field every week after the plant reaches late vegetative stages from mid-Feb. to April.
Disease Severity Scale	0 = no affected plants. 1 = a few lower leaves contain spots, but little or no defoliation. 2 = many leaves contain spots, some premature defoliation. 3 = leaves and stems peppered with spots, many leaves fallen on ground, all plants affected.
Comments	Fields receiving average rating of 2 or more should be harvested early (but not before bud stage). Early harvest will reduce leaf loss. Spring black stem is favored by cool, moist weather and the first cutting in the spring is usually the most damaged. Varietal resistance is highly variable.

Summer Black Stem and Leaf Spot

Affected Areas	Leaves and stems
Symptoms	Leaf spots are somewhat larger than those of spring black stem, usually 1/8- to 1/4-inch in diameter. Spots are reddish brown to light gray and often surrounded by a yellow halo. Stem lesions are dark brown.
Scouting Recommendations	Examine plants in the field every week after the plant reaches late vegetative stages from mid-May to Sept.
Disease Severity Scale	0 = no affected plants. 1 = a few lower leaves contain spots, but little or no defoliation. 2 = many leaves contain spots, some premature defoliation. 3 = leaves and stems peppered with spots, many leaves fallen on ground, all plants affected.
Comments	Fields receiving average rating of 2 or more should be harvested early (but not before bud stage). Early harvest will reduce leaf loss. Summer black stem is favored by hot, humid weather. Currently, available varieties have little resistance.

Southern Blight or Stem Rot

Affected Areas	Leaves and stems (initially), crowns (eventually).
Symptoms	One or more individual branches turn yellow, wilt, and die. Disease lesions are light tan to brown and often develop into a dry rot. In humid conditions, the fungus produces a white moldy growth over infected stems similar to Sclerotinia. Produces tan to brown, mustard seed-sized sclerotia.
Scouting Recommendations	Examine plants in the field every 2 to 4 weeks from mid-May to Sept.
Disease Severity Scale	0 = no affected plants. 1 = only 1 to 2% of plants show typical symptoms. 2 = 2 to 15% of plants affected. 3 = more than 15% of plants affected.
Comments	Southern stem rot is a hot weather disease.

Disease Management

The occurrence and severity of these diseases depend on soil conditions, crop management, and environmental stresses. Since no chemical control options are available, the only way to control these diseases is to practice good management. Cultural practices that maintain healthy growth can be achieved by abiding by the following recommendations:

- Select varieties that have a "Resistant" (R) rating (or higher) to as many diseases as possible.
- Select a well-drained, deep, and fertile site for alfalfa production.
- Use high-quality and, when possible, fungicide-treated seed.
- Maintain an appropriate soil pH and adequate levels of soil nutrients.
- Control insects and weeds to prevent wounds, stress, competition, or reductions in air circulation.
- Minimize crown injury by avoiding the use of heavy equipment or grazing when the soil is wet.
- Cut alfalfa at the early-bloom stage to prevent the buildup of foliar diseases.
- Harvest young stands before older ones to prevent the spread of disease from old to new stands.
- Cut only when foliage is dry.
- Cure, bale, and remove hay promptly.
- Practice good fall harvest management and remove freeze-damaged stems in a "freeze-down" harvest after a killing frost.
- Rotate crops and ensure that alfalfa does not follow other legume crops.

Nematodes

Several species of parasitic nematodes infect alfalfa. Nematodes can affect stand establishment, promote increased susceptibility to root and crown diseases, reduce stand life, and cause yield losses.

Alfalfa is generally classified as being affected by root-knot, lesion, stubby root and lance nematodes. Although not confirmed by research, nematologists suspect that the sting nematode will also injure alfalfa. Nematodes are more likely to affect alfalfa on the sandy soils of the Coastal Plain and on sandy river bottoms in north Georgia.

Nematode damage appears as stunted, yellow to light green areas in a field. Root damage symptoms include stunted root systems, stubby feeder roots, and knots on the roots similar to nodules. No nematicides are registered for use on alfalfa. Avoid planting in fields where damaging populations of nematodes occur. Nematologists can determine nematode population levels by extracting and counting juvenile nematodes found in soil samples taken for that purpose. Contact your county Extension agent for more information on sampling for nematodes.

Insects

Alfalfa grown in Georgia is subject to attack by a wide variety of insect pests. However, only a few of these pests occur at population levels capable of causing economic damage to the crop in most years. Knowledge of the seasonal occurrence of insect pests, their appearance and damage symptoms, and means by which they can be controlled will help growers minimize economic losses to their alfalfa crops.

Foliage Feeding Insects

Alfalfa Weevi

The alfalfa weevil is considered the most serious alfalfa pest in Georgia. Both larval (worm or grub) and adult stages attack the plants. Larvae are most damaging to the first crop of the season and adults can be present in damaging numbers during regrowth of the second crop. Their feeding causes loss of both yield and quality.

Alfalfa weevils overwinter as both adults and eggs. Eggs are deposited within alfalfa stems in the fall through early spring. Eggs hatch, depending on temperatures, in late February to early March and the larvae move to the tips of the plants. If left uncontrolled, larvae will feed for two to three weeks. The small larvae feed within the plant terminals, causing a “ragging” of leaves emerging from the terminal buds. Larger larvae usually feed more on the leaves that have already emerged. When present in large numbers, larvae cause severe defoliation and fields appear frosted or grayish. In very mild winters, weevil larvae can begin feeding in early winter and control measures may be needed.



Alfalfa weevil tip feeding damage.



Alfalfa weevil (Photo by Jack Kelly Clark, courtesy of UC Statewide IPM Program).



Alfalfa weevil larvae (Photo courtesy of Marlin Rice, Iowa State University).

As the first harvest approaches, most weevil larvae spin cocoons in debris on the soil surface and enter the pupal stage. An adult will emerge from each of these cocoons after two to three weeks of pupation and begin feeding on alfalfa. They normally feed for a short time to build up food reserves and then move into vegetation along field margins, where they remain inactive during the summer months. With the return of cool weather conditions in the fall, the adults return to the alfalfa fields.

In Georgia, at least one insecticide application will be needed in most fields every year to control alfalfa weevils in established alfalfa. In most years, alfalfa larvae can be present in damaging numbers early in the first

growth cycle (late February through mid-March) when stems may still be short. In cool springs, larvae may occur later in the first growth cycle. Sample larvae with either a sweep net or a shake-bucket technique. Insecticide control is justified if larval numbers exceed 20 larvae per sweep and 30 percent of terminals show damage. For the bucket technique, carefully pick 30 stems randomly per field, place them in a white plastic bucket, and beat stems against the side of the bucket. Count the larvae and divide by the number of stems. Shake-bucket thresholds are based on stem heights (Table 4). If stems are very short and most larvae are very small (1/16-inch long), most likely the eggs are still hatching and treatment should be delayed to allow most eggs to hatch before treatment.

Table 4. Treatment thresholds for control of insect pests of alfalfa.

Pest	Threshold level(s)
Alfalfa weevil larvae	20 or more larvae per sweep
Sweep net	5-8 inches: 0.5 larva per stem
Shake bucket	9-14 inches: 1.0 larva per stem
(based on stem height)	15 inches or more: 1.5 larvae per stem
Clover leaf weevil and lesser clover leaf weevil larvae	30% infested stems
Aphids (based on stem height)	Less than 15 inches: 40 aphid per stem
	15 inches or more: 80-100 aphids per stem
Potato leafhopper (based on stem height)	3-7 inches: 0.5 hopper per sweep
	8-10 inches: 1.0 hopper per sweep
	11-14 inches: 2.0 hoppers per sweep
	14+ inches: 4.0 hoppers per sweep or cut early
Leaf-feeding caterpillars, cutworms	10% defoliation
Grasshoppers	10% defoliation or 10 nymphs per square yard
Soil insects or Green June beetle larvae	2 per square yard
Three-cornered alfalfa hopper	10% infestation of seedling stands or Girdling of 10% of stems in established stands

In Georgia, alfalfa weevils almost always need control several weeks before normal harvest. Sometimes, in cool springs or in the northern part of the state, damaging populations may occur near harvest then bud stage to first bloom stage. At this point, consider harvesting early with a mower-conditioner, which will kill most larvae. Grazing cattle also can be used as a weevil larvae control measure for the first harvest. Check stubble four to six days after mowing or grazing for possible damage by surviving larvae or new adults. Stubble sprays may be required, especially in fields not sprayed before harvest, to prevent damage during regrowth of the second crop.

When selecting an insecticide for alfalfa weevil, carefully consider the product harvest interval. Some older products at higher rates can have a very long harvest interval.

Aphids

Aphids also can be a serious alfalfa pest in Georgia. They are often especially damaging during years with cool, wet conditions that allow for maximum aphid population development. Aphids insert their mouthparts into plants and feed directly on plant juices. Their feeding usually does not cause obvious yellowing or stunting, but large numbers can reduce plant vigor and growth.

Two aphid species typically attack alfalfa in Georgia: the pea aphid and the spotted alfalfa aphid. A third species, the blue alfalfa aphid, has been found in Georgia but rarely is a problem in the state. The pea aphid is light green and larger than the spotted alfalfa aphid. It usually occurs on the first crop of the season. Damage symptoms include yellowing of infested foliage and possible wilting of plants due to removal of plant fluids.

The spotted alfalfa aphid is pale yellow to white with several rows of dark spots along its back. Damage symptoms appear as a yellowing of the foliage between the leaf veins.



Pea aphids (Photo by Jack Kelly Clark, courtesy UC Statewide IPM Program).



Spotted alfalfa aphids (Photo by Jack Kelly Clark, courtesy UC Statewide IPM Program).

Initiate control against aphids when heavy infestations begin to cause yellow and/or loss of vigor. Treatment thresholds are not well established but consider insecticidal control if numbers exceed 40 aphids per stem when alfalfa is less than 15 inches tall or 80 to 100 aphids per stem if alfalfa is 15 inches taller. If alfalfa is close to harvest maturity, harvest early and treat stubble as needed. Natural enemies such as lady beetle larvae and adults and various parasites usually keep the aphid population in check. Lady beetles are not active during the winter, so large aphid populations may build up in late winter on non-dormant alfalfa before lady beetles become active. If spotted alfalfa aphids appear in seedling stands, treat when they can be easily found in the field. This aphid is capable of severely stunting or destroying alfalfa seedlings.

Potato Leafhopper

Potato leafhoppers sometimes reach damaging levels in later alfalfa growth cycles between July and September in Georgia. They feed on leaves and stems by sucking plant fluids. Their feeding causes a toxic reaction that typically causes the tips of leaves to turn yellow. This injury forms an inverted V-shape from the tip and is called “hopperburn.” Severe damage will stunt plants and make the stand appear yellowed.



Potato leafhopper adult (Photo courtesy of Marlin Rice, Iowa State University).



Potato leafhopper nymph and “hopperburn” caused by feeding injury (Photo courtesy of Oklahoma State University).

Potato leafhopper nymphs are very small, 1/16- to 1/32-inch long, and adults are 1/4-inch long. Both are greenish yellow and elongated. Sampling is based on sweep net samples. Take 10 sweeps per spot in five spots

per field. Insecticide treatment thresholds are based on stem height and range from 0.5 to four hoppers per sweep, depending on stem height (Table 4). Several insecticides are available; pyrethroid insecticides usually provide good control.

Alfalfa varieties with resistance to potato leafhoppers have been developed and may be available. These varieties generally are more tolerant of leafhopper damage, with damage usually being reduced to a point where treatment is not needed. However, potato leafhopper resistance has not been extensively evaluated in Georgia.

Three-cornered Alfalfa Hopper

Three-cornered alfalfa hoppers are so named because adults have a large triangular shield over their back. Nymphs are teardrop-shaped and have a line of small spines along the back. Both nymphs and adults are green and have piercing-sucking mouthparts. They feed on alfalfa stems, often girdling the stem less than one inch above the soil surface and causing the stem to wilt and die. In Georgia, this insect also causes similar damage to soybean and peanut. Three-cornered alfalfa hopper usually does not need to be controlled in alfalfa. Treatment threshold is 10 percent of stems being girdled and dying.



Three-cornered alfalfa hopper (Photo by Jack Kelly Clark, courtesy UC Statewide IPM Program).



This stem was girdled by the three-cornered alfalfa hopper and the leaves show the effects.

Blister Beetles

Several species of blister beetles may infest alfalfa in Georgia. Blister beetles feed on foliage but rarely cause significant defoliation. Instead, their bodies contain a very toxic chemical that contaminates hay. This compound, cantharidin, can be toxic to livestock especially horses. The striped blister beetle is the species usually associated with alfalfa hay poisonings. Generally, the first cutting is at low risk of infestation; beetles usually occur after that time and peak in late summer. Blister beetles can aggregate in very large numbers, often along the field margin. For more detailed information on blister beetle management in alfalfa see UGA Extension Circular 917 (<http://pubs.caes.uga.edu/caespubs/pubcd/C917/C917.htm>).



*Striped blister beetle
(Photo courtesy of Marlin Rice, Iowa State University).*

Others

Later in the growing season, lepidopteran (caterpillar) larvae, including green cloverworm, velvetbean caterpillar, alfalfa butterfly larvae, and various armyworm species, may cause defoliation. These caterpillars can be considered as a group and control considered when defoliation exceeds 10 percent. Grasshoppers also may cause defoliation, especially in dry years. Several other weevil species (such as clover leaf weevil) may occasionally infest alfalfa and cause damage similar to the alfalfa weevil. Check Table 4 for treatment thresholds for these and other alfalfa pests.

Soil Insects

Various soil insects such as white grubs, wireworms, or whitefringed beetle larvae may cause stand losses when establishing new alfalfa plantings. Inspect fields before planting to determine whether soil insects are present. Since these insects bury themselves quickly in the soil (within seconds), inspection should be made immediately after turning the soil. If any of these pests are found at an average of two larvae per square yard, a pre-plant or at-planting insecticide may be beneficial. The only insecticide currently available for soil insect control during alfalfa planting is chlorpyrifos (Lorsban, Chlorfos and other brands). The liquid formulation (4E) can be applied pre-plant and incorporated, or the granular formulation (15G) can be applied in-furrow at planting. Control may be variable depending on soil conditions and infestation size.

If alfalfa is to be planted following grass sods, inspect the sod for infestations of mole crickets or green June beetle larvae. Infestations are indicated by fluffiness of the top one to two inches of the soil and the presence of holes (1/4- to 3/4-inch in diameter). No effective chemical controls are available for use against mole crickets in alfalfa, but green June beetle larvae can be controlled with recommended insecticides.

Management

A proper crop management program can ensure high alfalfa yields and quality. Follow recommended agronomic practices (fertilization liming, weed control, seeding, etc.) to achieve and maintain vigorous crop stands. Healthy plants tend to tolerate and/or outgrow insect damage more efficiently than plants not receiving proper care. Check fields for insect problems at least weekly during the growing season. Maintaining a field report at each sampling may help determine whether a population is increasing or decreasing.

Inspect each field; insect populations differ among various locations. Examine plants at three to four locations for every five to 10 acres in a field. A sweep net may be used in these examinations, but use the “bucket method” when scouting for alfalfa weevil. In the “bucket method,” entire stems from the sample locations (a total of 30 stems from representative areas of the field) are collected and shaken inside a bucket to dislodge the weevils from the stems, and the alfalfa weevils are counted. If a damaging population is present, early cutting can be a very effective means of control. Check stubble for surviving pests within four to six days after cutting and treat the stubble if regrowth is delayed. Specific treatment threshold levels and insecticide recommendations for all alfalfa pests are updated annually in the Georgia Pest Management Handbook (<http://www.ent.uga.edu/pmh/>) and may be obtained from your county Extension office.

Use insecticides judiciously in alfalfa. Numerous beneficial insect predators and parasites can be found in alfalfa fields during the entire growing season. These beneficials help suppress many different insect pests. Also avoid applying insecticide to flowering alfalfa to prevent killing bees. In addition, be aware of the restrictions for individual insecticides with regard to the interval between spray and harvest when you select the best insecticides for your situation.

Alfalfa Utilization

Alfalfa is one of the highest-quality forages available to livestock producers in Georgia. It is high in crude protein and above average in energy. As a result, it is sometimes used as the primary forage for grass-fed livestock systems where pastured animals require high levels of nutrition from their pasture rather than from grain-based supplements.

For conventional livestock systems, however, alfalfa normally supplies more protein and energy than is required for most classes of animals. For this, as well as economic reasons, good quality alfalfa is typically used as a supplement in feeding programs in Georgia rather than as the primary forage in livestock rations. This supplement can be provided by allowing animals to limit-graze alfalfa or alfalfa/grass pastures, but most commonly is provided by using alfalfa hay as an ingredient in a balanced ration.

The following examples provide the considerations necessary for feeding alfalfa hay as a high-quality forage supplement. In the interest of brevity, these sections only consider the use of alfalfa hay in rations for the three major forage-based livestock systems in Georgia: beef cow-calf, dairy cows, and horses.

Certainly, there are many other livestock enterprises where alfalfa can be utilized. The following suggested rations are meant only as guidelines. There will be substantial differences in each scenario, even within the examples detailed here. Thus, it is best to consult with your county Extension agent or animal nutritionist about how to use alfalfa in your specific livestock feeding program(s).

Using Alfalfa Hay in Livestock Feeding Systems

When alfalfa is harvested for hay following best management practices (see previous sections), the forage will generally contain 61 to 64 percent TDN and 18 to 22 percent protein (Table 5). By virtue of being comparatively higher in quality than grass hay, alfalfa is most often used as a substitute for grass hay in the ration.

Table 5. Comparison of the typical forage quality of grass and alfalfa hay when harvested at the recommended stage of maturity.

Nutrient	Grass Hay	Alfalfa Hay
CP	9 – 11%	15 – 18%
TDN	55 – 58%	61 – 64%
RFQ	90 – 115	125 – 180

Although it can be exceptionally high, alfalfa quality varies considerably (as do most other feedstuffs). Unfortunately, quality cannot be adequately assessed without a forage analysis (see the “Your Senses Can Be Deceiving” inset). It is critical that an analysis be used to determine the true nutritive value before the forage can be most effectively used in the ration.

Additionally, animals that have been switched to a diet that contains relatively high amounts of alfalfa (more than 25 percent) may need time to adjust to the change in quality. In these transitions, alfalfa may have a mild laxative effect on some animals. In general, animals acclimate to alfalfa-rich diets within two weeks.

Your Senses Can Be Deceiving

Green color in a hay crop does not equal high quality. Alfalfa hay that is produced in western states is often a bright green color. This side effect of more arid curing conditions is not very indicative of energy content, fiber digestibility, or protein level. Thus, the use of color as an indicator of forage quality is overrated and can be quite deceiving. This essentially cosmetic trait is sought by hay buyers, but it is more important to the person than to the animal (cattle and horses are unable to distinguish green from brown).

However, **the smell of hay can be used to identify problems** not seen in analyses of forage quality. As with all hay crops, alfalfa hay can contain excessive mold or dust when baling conditions were less than ideal. Good alfalfa hay should have a fresh, pleasant smell rather than a moldy or dusty odor. Moldy or dusty smelling hay is a reasonable (but still rather subjective) indicator of mold or dust. However, mild odors or the lack of hay odor should not be thought of as conclusive indicators of mold or dust content. For example, if the hay was recently baled (less than three weeks), its mold content (and smell) may change in time.

Beef

Alfalfa can serve as a good supplement resource for beef cattle producers in Georgia because it provides an excellent source of protein and energy. Alfalfa hay that does not meet dairy/horse specifications may be purchased cheaper than many typical supplements. However, as with any supplementation strategy, feedstuffs should be evaluated on a per-nutrient basis to assure the most economical decision is made.

A typical supplement for a 1,000 lb. lactating cow is a 50:50 blend of corn gluten feed and soyhulls fed at seven lbs. a day (77 percent TDN, 15 percent CP). In order to compare the value of alfalfa hay to this supplement we need to define the amount of alfalfa hay needed to equal the amount of supplement (Table 6). Currently, energy is the most expensive nutrient to feed cattle and should be the first nutrient balanced.

Table 6. Comparison of a 50:50 blend of corn gluten feed and soyhulls to medium-quality alfalfa hay.

	TDN	CP
	— % —	
Corn Gluten Feed/Soyhull (50:50 blend)	77	15
Alfalfa Hay (medium-quality)	62	15

Alfalfa hay (medium-quality) contains approximately 80 percent of the energy (TDN) of the corn gluten: soy hull supplement. Therefore, alfalfa's value is 80 percent of the typical supplement (based on energy content).

Guidelines for Feeding

Feeding alfalfa as a supplement to other forages may present problems that should be considered. Care must be taken to ensure that the alfalfa supplement is equally available to all animals. The following guidelines will help in planning how to properly use alfalfa in your program.

Frequency of feeding. It is best to feed on at least a daily basis. A suitable alternative would be to feed double the amount on alternate days. Feeding alfalfa less frequently (every third or fourth day) may cause digestive problems and reduce performance.

Form of feeding. Alfalfa is best handled in square bales. Feeding as long-stemmed hay is preferred. Provide hay in troughs or racks, not on the ground. Hay fed on the ground will cause high losses due to leaf shatter and

trampling. Round bales of alfalfa can be fed, but limiting access to the forage is more challenging. Grinding bales in a tub grinder is a suitable alternative if it is not ground too finely. A grind of 1/2 to one inch is best.

Trough space. Since alfalfa hay should be hand-fed, adequate trough space is a must. Provide 1 1/2 to two linear feet per head so all cattle can eat at once.

These suggestions are meant as guidelines. There are many ways to feed alfalfa successfully. The key is to know the nutrients available in pastures and grass hay, and to allow all animals adequate alfalfa supplement on a regular basis without overfeeding.

Dairy Cow

The dairy cow is a ruminant that requires a large amount of forage (fiber) in her diet. Alfalfa is a high-quality, highly-digestible forage that is relatively high in calcium and energy content, relative to other forage crops. Alfalfa is known for its ability to meet most of the cow's nutrient requirements and, as a result, has been used in dairy rations for several decades. It has proven to be a forage that aids milk production and is adapted to many feeding schemes.

For the dairy cow, fiber, protein, energy, and calcium are critical nutrients. Adherence to several ration balancing rules of thumb should ensure that there is adequate fiber in the dairy cow's diet. These requirements include at least 40 percent of her dry matter intake (DMI) to be roughage, 17 percent crude fiber (CF), 33 percent neutral detergent fiber (NDF), 23 percent acid detergent fiber (ADF), and 75 percent of the NDF should be from forages. All of these percentages are required to get enough fiber in the ration to maintain rumen microbial activity and slowly release volatile fatty acids (VFA) from the fermentation of cellulose and hemicellulose. The major VFA produced in the rumen is acetate. Acetate is critical to the dairy cow because it is used by the mammary gland to produce milk fat. Fifty percent of the cow's milk fat is synthesized in the mammary gland. If too much grain is fed, less acetate will be produced and milk fat depression will occur. If this continues, rumen pH will decrease and acidosis will occur. Adequate forage in the diet is the best prevention of acidosis.

The protein content for the lactating dairy cow should be 14 to 17 percent, depending upon her level of production. Having more protein available in the forage allows more flexibility in balancing rations and less need to purchase protein supplements. The protein content in alfalfa is relatively high for a forage crop, and it is readily available to the dairy cow.

Energy is often limiting in the ration of the early-lactation or high-producing dairy cow. The cow cannot eat enough feedstuffs to meet her milk production demands. Because of the need to maintain the fiber level for milk fat production, energy will be limited because of intake restrictions. The higher the energy in forage, the closer the ration can come to meeting the dairy cow's energy need. Alfalfa is a high-energy forage that can be used to balance the cow's need for fiber and energy.

Milk is an excellent source of calcium for humans. To provide this level of calcium, the dairy cow has a high calcium requirement. To produce 50 lbs. of 3.5 percent milk, the cow must have 0.13 lbs. of calcium in her diet above her needs. Alfalfa is high in calcium and can help to meet this requirement.

Feeding Systems

Alfalfa is often fed as the sole forage in the West and Midwest. In the Southeast, alfalfa is typically fed in limited amounts as supplemental forage. This means it is most effectively used when it can be blended in a mix to ensure all cows have access to the alfalfa. The way alfalfa is fed to dairy cows will depend upon the form of preservation chosen for the forage. Alfalfa can be harvested and stored as silage, low-moisture silage (haylage), or hay. The form chosen will depend upon harvest time, equipment, storage facilities, feeding system, labor,

and capital. Silage, haylage, or chopped hay can be used in total mix rations (TMR) for dairy cows. The interactions of these variables will determine which form a dairy producer will use.

For many producers, the flexibility to harvest their alfalfa in different forms is essential to managing the crop. Harvest time, drying time, and competition with the harvest of other crops will determine how the farmer will harvest and store the crop. As alfalfa production has increased in the Southeast, more alfalfa hay is grown as a cash crop to sell. Competition from the horse market will determine the availability of alfalfa for dairy cattle.

Table 7 lists feed ingredients for a basic corn silage ration using feed ingredient prices averaged from the 2000s and the prices for 2008. Recent prices have increased dramatically due to ethanol demand for corn, global demand for U.S. feed stocks, increased energy prices, increased fertilizer costs, and other economic challenges.

Table 7. Prices for feed ingredients in a base ration with average 2000s prices and 2008 prices.

Feed	Avg 2000s	2008
Corn Silage	\$30/ton	\$45/ton
Corn	\$3.50/bu	\$7.00/bu
SBM 48	\$260/ton	\$350/ton
Whole Cottonseed	\$145/ton	\$275/ton
Limestone	\$65/ton	\$90/ton
Dical	\$320/ton	\$425/ton
Trace Mineral Salt	\$140/ton	\$185/ton
Dynamate	\$180/ton	\$240/ton

Table 8 lists the competitive price for alfalfa hay with the base ration, 2000s average, and 2008 prices, and milk production at 50, 70 and 90 lbs. If the competitive price is above the cost of production, then the production of alfalfa could be economically feasible for the dairy producer. If the market price to purchase is higher than the competitive prices, then it may not be economically feasible to buy and feed alfalfa.

Table 8. Competitive price for alfalfa hay with average 2000 feed prices and 2008 feed prices.

Milk Production	Avg 2000s	2008
lbs./day	----- (\$/ton) -----	
50	\$116.20	\$144.61
70	\$103.27	\$137.84
90	\$102.17	\$137.24

Rations

Several example rations were calculated to show how alfalfa can be used in dairy rations. A wide range of ingredients were used to allow as much flexibility as possible. The rations in Table 9 were all calculated for a cow weighing 1,350 lbs., producing 60 lbs. of milk daily, with 3.6 percent fat, and at a \$21.00 per hundredweight milk price. The alfalfa was early bloom and priced at \$150 per ton. The silages were priced at \$35 per ton.

Ration 1 is a corn silage-based ration. When alfalfa is substituted as the forage, there is a decrease in protein feeds fed and an increase in energy feeds as seen in Ration 2. Rations 3 and 4 are sorghum silage-based. Adding alfalfa decreased the amount of sorghum silage, corn, and soybean meal, and decreased the feed cost. This is a reflection of the nutritive value of sorghum silage. Rations 5 and 6 are wheat silage-based. The addition of

alfalfa to wheat silage is similar to the corn silage rations. These rations maximize the amount of forage as concentrate prices have increased.

Table 9. Rations with corn silage, sorghum silage, and wheat silage with or without alfalfa hay, balanced for 60 lbs. of milk per day.

Feed	1	2	3	4	5	6
Corn Silage	96.2	85.4	—	—	—	—
Sorghum Silage	—	—	78.1	65.8	—	—
Wheat Silage	—	—	—	—	88.0	77.8
Alfalfa	—	5.0	—	7.0	—	5.0
Corn	3.1	3.9	10.7	9.9	5.3	6.1
SBM48	7.8	6.6	7.3	5.2	4.4	3.6
WCS	—	—	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.1
Minerals	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8
Feed \$/cow/day	\$3.38	\$3.45	\$4.61	\$4.49	\$3.79	\$3.77
IOFC	\$9.22	\$9.15	\$7.99	\$8.11	\$8.86	\$8.83

Abbreviations: SBM48 = soybean meal, 48% crude protein; WCS = whole cottonseed; IOFC = income over feed costs.

Rations 7 to 12 (Table 10) are balanced for 80 lbs. of milk. To meet the increased nutrient demand, less forage and more concentrate are fed. The response of adding alfalfa is similar to the rations balanced for 60 lbs. The higher the level of milk production, the more valuable alfalfa becomes. The higher levels of forage also help to maintain rumen function and cow health.

Table 10. Rations with corn silage, sorghum silage, and wheat silage with or without alfalfa hay, balanced for 80 lbs. of milk per day.

Feed	7	8	9	10	11	12
Corn Silage	94.3	81.9	—	—	—	—
Sorghum Silage	—	—	59.0	48.7	—	—
Wheat Silage	—	—	—	—	70.7	61.5
Alfalfa	—	5.0	—	7.0	—	5.0
Corn	3.1	4.0	19.3	18.1	15.3	14.6
SBM48	10.8	9.9	11.8	9.6	7.8	7.2
WCS	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Minerals	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.2
Feed \$/cow/day	\$4.68	\$4.78	\$5.95	\$5.81	\$5.10	\$5.14
IOFC	\$12.12	\$12.02	\$10.85	\$10.99	\$11.70	\$11.66

The better the quality of alfalfa, the better returns will be to the dairy producer. Even though alfalfa is the “queen of forages,” its quality can vary tremendously. The dairy cow can use alfalfa effectively but, as seen from the rations, the better the quality of alfalfa, the better the cow’s needs can be met.

Horse

The high nutrient content and high digestibility of alfalfa make it an excellent feed for horses. Alfalfa hay can serve as the sole roughage for horses, but may be best used as a supplement to lower-quality grass hay in some cases. For maintenance and gestating mare diets, it is typically more economical to use alfalfa as a supplement to a grass hay rather than feeding only alfalfa. However, alfalfa is often fed as the sole roughage to lactating mares, young horses (weaning to two years), and active or working horses. The suggested use of alfalfa for various classes of horses is listed in Tables 11, 12, and 13. (Note: A high phosphorus mineral supplement may be needed to balance the Ca:P ratio.)

Table 11. Feeding guidelines for the maintenance of recreational horses and early- and late-lactating mares with (Diet A) or without (Diet B) the use of alfalfa hay.[†]

	Maintenance		Early-Lactating Mare		Late-Lactating Mare	
	Diet A	Diet B	Diet A	Diet B	Diet A	Diet B
	(lbs./animal/day)		(lbs./animal/day)		(lbs./animal/day)	
Early Bloom Alfalfa Hay	15.00	—	17.50	—	17.00	—
Good Quality Grass Hay	—	20.50	—	20.50	—	18.00
Commercial Concentrate (10% CP)	—	—	11.00	—	8.00	—
Commercial Concentrate (14% CP)	—	0.10	—	13.25	—	11.00
Mineral Supplement	0.05	0.10	—	—	—	—
Expected Feed Intake	15.05	20.60	28.50	33.25	25.00	29.00

[†] Average body weight of the horses in these examples is assumed to be 1,100 lbs.

[‡] Mineral supplement to contain: Calcium: 12-14%, Phosphorus: 12%, Copper: 2,500 ppm, Zinc: 10,000 ppm, and Selenium: 15 ppm.

Table 12. Feeding guidelines for weanling and yearling horses when the animal is (Diet A) or is not (Diet B) provided alfalfa hay.

	Weanlings[†]		Yearlings[‡]	
	Diet A	Diet B	Diet A	Diet B
	(lbs./animal/day)		(lbs./animal/day)	
Early Bloom Alfalfa Hay	6.00	—	9.00	—
Good Quality Grass Hay	—	6.00	—	11.00
Commercial Concentrate (10% CP)	—	—	7.00	—
Commercial Concentrate (12% CP)	6.50	—	—	8.00
Commercial Concentrate (14% CP)	—	8.00	—	—
Mineral Supplement ^{††}	0.06	—	0.10	—
Expected Feed Intake	12.56	14.00	16.10	19.00

[†] Body weight range of 475 to 600 lbs.

[‡] Body weight range of 600 to 880 lbs.

^{††} Mineral supplement monosodium phosphate containing 25% phosphorus.

Table 13. Feeding guidelines for horses performing moderate or heavy work when the animal is (Diet A) or is not (Diet B) provided alfalfa hay.[†]

	Moderate Work		Heavy Work	
	Diet A	Diet B	Diet A	Diet B
	(lbs./animal/day)		(lbs./animal/day)	
Early Bloom Alfalfa Hay	14.00	—	16.00	—
Good Quality Grass Hay	—	16.50	—	16.50
Commercial Concentrate (10% CP)	6.00	7.75	7.00	10.00
Mineral Supplement [‡]	0.15	—	0.15	—
Expected Feed Intake	20.15	24.25	23.15	26.50

[†] Average body weight of the horses in these examples is assumed to be 1,100 lbs.

[‡]Mineral supplement monosodium phosphate containing 25% phosphorus.

Economics of Alfalfa Production

An economic analysis of any crop involves (1) an estimate of production costs, and (2) the expected receipts for the crop. Once production costs are known, the producer is in a better position to evaluate the market alternatives for the crop.

Cost Outlays Vary

Costs of producing alfalfa will vary among farms as the result of many factors (e.g., soil fertility, climate, life of establishment, and yield level). When considering alfalfa production, be aware of the inputs needed, expected yield, and production costs under the specific set of conditions on the farm in which alfalfa would be produced.

Purpose of Budget

The cost estimates in the accompanying tables are intended to assist with individual farm estimates. These data are not costs associated with a given type of farm, but reflect inputs likely to be associated with the stated outputs. They are designed to permit producers to best estimate their costs to be incurred in the production systems. These budgets can be modified to reflect individual growing conditions.

Types of Costs

Cost determination is the most important part of any marketing or production plan. Until cost is determined, it is impossible to conduct any meaningful economic analysis, regardless of price.

Production costs should be calculated on a marketing unit basis. That is, if alfalfa hay will be marketed on a per-ton basis, then costs should be calculated on a “dollars-per-ton” basis. If the hay will be sold on a per-bale basis, then costs should be calculated in terms of “dollars-per-bale.”

In practice, the best way to calculate costs on a per-unit basis is to determine the cost per acre, then divide that cost by the number of units per acre. For instance, if total costs are \$1,200 per acre and a producer is planning on marketing six tons of hay per acre; then the cost per ton is \$200.

There are two types of costs. Variable costs, often referred to as cash or direct expenses, include items that are used annually for production. Changing levels of variable costs will directly impact production. These costs can be eliminated by not producing the crop.

Fixed costs occur regardless of production. They include items such as prorated establishment costs, depreciation, interest on investment, taxes, and insurance. Fixed costs per unit of output may be reduced by producing more output with a fixed set of equipment.

Production Cost Estimates

Annual Costs

The three largest production cost items are fertilizer, irrigation, and prorated establishment costs (Table 14).

Because of the high investment cost in alfalfa, irrigation is recommended to reduce production risk. If producers choose not to use irrigation, they should lower their annual average yields. Irrigation costs account for approximately 18 percent of total production costs. These costs are based on applying eight acre-inches of water at \$16.50 per acre-inch as well as \$90 per acre fixed costs for the irrigation and pumping equipment. The irrigation operational costs are based on diesel-powered pumps and diesel at \$4 per gallon. Costs for electric-powered systems will save approximately 50 percent in variable irrigation costs.

Table 14. Estimated irrigated alfalfa hay production costs assuming a yield of six tons of hay per acre annually from 120 acres under center pivot irrigation and baled as square or round bale hay.

ITEM	UNITS	UNITS/ ACRE	TOTAL UNITS	COST (\$/UNIT)	TOTAL COST	COST (\$/ACRE)	COST (\$/TON)
Variable Costs							
Fertilizer:							
Phosphate	lb.	70.00	8,400.00	\$1.00	\$8,400.00	\$70.00	\$11.67
Potash	lb.	250.00	30,000.00	\$0.60	\$18,000.00	\$150.00	\$25.00
Boron	lb.	3.00	360.00	\$0.67	\$241.20	\$2.01	\$0.34
Lime	ton	0.75	90.00	\$33.00	\$2,970.00	\$24.75	\$4.13
Crop Protection:							
Gramoxone	pints	2.00	240.00	\$12.00	\$2,880.00	\$24.00	\$4.00
Pursuit	ozs	2.00	240.00	\$12.25	\$2,940.00	\$24.50	\$4.08
Poast	pints	3.20	384.00	\$8.90	\$3,417.60	\$28.48	\$4.75
Furadan 4f	pints	1.00	120.00	\$9.66	\$1,159.20	\$9.66	\$1.61
Sevin Xlr Plus	quarts	1.50	180.00	\$9.80	\$1,764.00	\$14.70	\$2.45
Machinery:							
Fuel	gal.	14.31	1,717.45	\$4.00	\$6,869.81	\$57.25	\$9.54
Repairs & Maint.	acre	1.00	120.00	\$24.22	\$2,906.40	\$24.22	\$4.04
Twine	bale	0.26	31.20	\$25.00	\$780.00	\$6.50	\$1.08
Hay Hauling	ton	6.00	720.00	\$14.00	\$10,080.00	\$84.00	\$14.00
Irrigation	inches	8.00	960.00	\$16.50	\$15,840.00	\$132.00	\$22.00
Land Rental	acre	1.00	120.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Labor	hrs.	3.78	453.60	\$10.00	\$4,536.00	\$37.80	\$6.30
Other	\$	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Interest On Op. Cap.	\$		\$82,784.21	8.50%	\$3,518.33	\$29.32	\$4.89
Total Variable Cost					\$86,302.54	\$719.19	\$119.86
Fixed Cost							
Establishment Costs	year	0.33	120.00	257.44	\$30,893.12	\$257.44	\$42.91
Annual Fixed Costs	year	1.00	1.00		\$14,923.65	\$124.36	\$20.73
Annual Fixed Costs for Irrigation	year	1.00	1.00		\$10,800.00	\$90.00	\$15.00
Management	% of vc	1.00	86,303	6.00%	\$5,178.15	\$43.15	\$7.19
Land	acre	1.00	120	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Fixed Cost					\$61,794.92	\$514.96	\$85.83

Expenditures for labor, machinery, and twine will depend in part on yield levels. Varying levels of these inputs are taken into account in the cost-per-unit estimates in Table 14. Higher yields usually mean higher outlays. More labor is required, extra twine is needed, and machinery is used more, but the result is usually a lower cost per unit of the output. Lower yields will tend to have the opposite effect.

Establishment Costs

For fixed costs, the largest item is the establishment cost. To account for stand establishment in an economic analysis, total establishment costs are prorated over the useful life of the stand. As a result, a longer stand life will reduce annual fixed costs.

Establishment costs shown in Table 15 are estimated to be \$772 per acre, excluding irrigation. Almost 2/3 of this amount consists of expenditures for lime and fertilizer. Lime requirements will likely be less in the Coastal Plain area, and fertilizer inputs can vary depending on the fertility level of the soil. Costs for other establishment inputs should be added to reflect individual conditions.

Table 15. Estimated alfalfa establishment costs per acre.

ITEM	UNIT	UNITS PER ACRE	TOTAL QUANTITY (units)	UNIT PRICE (\$/unit)	TOTAL AMOUNT
Variable Costs:					
Seeding	lbs.	25.00	25.00	\$4.50	\$112.50
Lime	ton	2.00	2.00	\$33.00	\$66.00
Fertilizer: Seeding					
Phosphate	lb.	130.00	130.00	\$1.00	\$130.00
Potash	lb.	200.00	200.00	\$0.60	\$120.00
Boron	lb.	3.00	3.00	\$0.67	\$2.01
Gypsum	ton	2.00	2.00	\$50.00	\$100.00
Fertilizer: After 1st Cutting					
Phosphate	lb.	0.00	0.00	\$1.00	\$0.00
Potash	lb.	150.00	150.00	\$0.60	\$90.00
Herbicides - Preplant					
Eptam	pints	3.50	3.50	\$4.24	\$14.84
Other	pints	0.00	0.00	\$5.85	\$0.00
Herbicides - Post					
Pursuit	ozs.	2.00	2.00	\$12.25	\$24.50
Poast	pints	1.50	1.50	\$8.90	\$13.35
Insecticides					
Lorsban	pints	2.00	2.00	\$6.54	\$13.08
Other	pints	1.50	1.50	\$8.90	\$13.35
Other	pints	0.00	0.00	\$8.90	\$0.00
Machinery:			0.00		
Fuel	gal.	6.42	6.42	\$4.00	\$25.68
Repairs & Maint.	acre	1.00	1.00	\$7.14	\$7.14
Land Rental	acre	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Labor	hrs.	1.45	1.45	\$10.00	\$14.50
Other	\$	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Interest On Op. Cap.	\$	634.45	634.45	8.00%	\$25.38
Total Establishment Cost					\$772.33

In addition to prorated establishment costs, there are other fixed costs for alfalfa production, including tractors and harvesting equipment, irrigation equipment, and management.

Equipment and Irrigation Fixed Costs

Fixed costs for tractors and harvesting equipment are included because these items eventually have to be replaced. Fixed costs for tractors and harvesting equipment include depreciation, interest on investment, and storage and housing.

For equipment that may be shared with other enterprises such as crops (for tractors) or grass-hay production (for cutters, rakes, balers, etc.), producers should assign fixed costs to alfalfa based on the percentage of time the item is actually used in alfalfa production. For instance, if a round-baler is used 50 percent of the time in the alfalfa enterprise and 50 percent of the time in other hay enterprises, then only half of the annual fixed costs should be counted toward the alfalfa enterprise. However, if a square baler is used exclusively for alfalfa, then 100 percent of the square baler's fixed cost should be counted toward the alfalfa enterprise.

In these example budgets, fixed costs for irrigation are estimated to be \$90 per acre per year. This figure is based on 2008 UGA crop enterprise budgets and assumes a 130-acre center-pivot powered by a diesel pump. Readers with different irrigation systems should adjust their irrigation fixed costs accordingly.

Management Charges

Management charges are a fixed cost that many operators mistakenly overlook. The purpose of including management charges is to place a value on the operator's decision-making role as well as rewarding them for investing the capital into the enterprise. There are several ways to calculate management charges, but in this budget they are estimated to be 6 percent of variable costs.

Land Charges

As land costs depend on individual circumstances, no specific land charge is included in the cost estimate.

Computerized Budget Spreadsheet

As an aid to developing a budget that is tailored to a specific scenario, a computerized version of this budget is available in a spreadsheet. This and other downloadable spreadsheet-based enterprise budgets are located on the Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics' Web site (<http://www.ces.uga.edu/Agriculture/agecon/agecon.html>).

Calculating Break-even Prices

The break-even price is the price a producer must receive to break even or not lose money on a particular venture. Break-even prices are affected by costs and production. A helpful formula to determine the break-even price for alfalfa sold on a per-ton basis is:

$$\text{Break-even Price} = \frac{\text{Production Costs}}{\text{Tons of Hay Sold}}$$

Potential alfalfa growers should calculate two break-even prices: the price to cover variable costs and the price to cover total costs. The break-even price to cover total costs is the price that **MUST BE ACHIEVED** virtually every year because the returns left over after covering variable costs are what are used to pay fixed costs. The break-even price to cover total costs is the price that covers all variable plus fixed costs and provides a return to the owner for his management and risk. Break-even prices for different yield levels for variable, fixed, and total costs are shown below in Table 16.

Table 16. Break-even price per ton to cover the variable, fixed, and total costs of producing alfalfa hay at varying levels of alfalfa yield.

Yield	Variable Costs	Fixed Costs	Total Costs
(tons/acre)	----- (\$/ton) -----		
8	\$93.53	\$64.37	\$157.90
7	\$102.74	\$73.57	\$176.31
6	\$119.86	\$85.83	\$205.69
5	\$143.84	\$102.99	\$246.83
4	\$172.54	\$128.74	\$301.28

To interpret this table, choose a yield level and then see what the break-even prices for the appropriate costs (variable, fixed, or total) are at that level. For instance, at six tons, the sales price will need to be approximately \$120/ton just to cover the variable costs. Fixed costs, including management, add another \$86/ton to costs to make the break-even price to cover all expenses \$205.69.

One key item of note is that the yield mentioned here refers to tons marketed. So, any alfalfa that is lost due to spoilage, harvest loss, storage loss, etc. should not be included in the yield number.

Returns from Alfalfa

Most alfalfa that is produced will be for sale. As such, potential net returns can be estimated for varying yields and prices. Estimates for net returns above variable and total costs are shown below in Tables 17 and 18. The cost estimates used in this analysis indicate a sale price of \$100 to \$200 per ton is necessary to cover variable expenses. To cover all costs, prices will need to be in the \$200 to \$300 range.

Table 17. Returns above variable costs (\$/acre) at various price and yield combinations for alfalfa hay.

Yield	Net Sales Price					
(tons/acre)	----- (\$/ton) -----					
	\$600.00	\$500.00	\$400.00	\$300.00	\$200.00	\$100.00
8	\$4,051.78	\$3,251.78	\$2,451.78	\$1,651.78	\$851.78	\$51.78
7	\$3,480.81	\$2,780.81	\$2,080.81	\$1,380.81	\$680.81	(\$19.19)
6	\$2,880.81	\$2,280.81	\$1,680.81	\$1,080.81	\$480.81	(\$119.19)
5	\$2,280.81	\$1,780.81	\$1,280.81	\$780.81	\$280.81	(\$219.19)
4	\$1,709.84	\$1,309.84	\$909.84	\$509.84	\$109.84	(\$290.16)

Table 18. Returns above total costs (\$/acre) at various price and yield combinations for alfalfa hay.

Yield	Net Sales Price					
(tons/acre)	----- (\$/ton) -----					
	\$600.00	\$500.00	\$400.00	\$300.00	\$200.00	\$100.00
8	\$3,536.82	\$2,736.82	\$1,936.82	\$1,136.82	\$336.82	(\$463.18)
7	\$2,965.85	\$2,265.85	\$1,565.85	\$865.85	\$165.85	(\$534.15)
6	\$2,365.85	\$1,765.85	\$1,165.85	\$565.85	(\$34.15)	(\$634.15)
5	\$1,765.85	\$1,265.85	\$765.85	\$265.85	(\$234.15)	(\$734.15)
4	\$1,194.88	\$794.88	\$394.88	(\$5.12)	(\$405.12)	(\$805.12)

Factors Impacting Sales Price

The sales prices used here are “blended” net sales prices that assume some of the hay is sold as square bales and some as round bales. As a rule, small square bales bring more on a dollars-per-ton basis than round bales. Because of this, most producers would like to sell all of their hay as square bales. However, this is not always possible. Weather, insect, weed, and other problems will most likely make it necessary to put up at least some of the hay produced as round bales. As a result, potential alfalfa growers should be realistic in their price expectations and use a projected sales price that accurately reflects the sales prices for round and square bales and the amounts of each type of hay that is sold.

Alfalfa Feeding Values

If the hay is to be used for feed on the farm where it is produced, compare the feed value of the hay with the cost of a feed that can be purchased. As alfalfa can be a very high-quality feed, it is usually considered a cost-effective feed when grown on farm.



Summary

Alfalfa is a perennial legume forage crop that can be successfully grown on well-drained and fertile soils throughout the state. Alfalfa forage has a high energy value and protein content and can be used as a cost-effective feedstuff in many forage-based livestock systems in Georgia.

Good management is critical to successful alfalfa production. The crop must be harvested at the correct stage of growth to produce quality feed and maintain healthy, productive stands. Lime and fertilizer requirements must be met and insects and weeds must be controlled. Well-managed alfalfa fields may produce more than six tons of hay per acre in a good growing season, and stands should persist for three to five years in south Georgia and four to seven years in north Georgia.

When well-managed, alfalfa is a high-value crop that can be profitably produced for the cash hay market or stored as hay or silage for on-farm use.



www.extension.uga.edu/publications

Bulletin 1350

Reviewed January 2015

The University of Georgia, Fort Valley State University, the U.S. Department of Agriculture and counties of the state cooperating. UGA Extension offers educational programs, assistance and materials to all people without regard to race, color, national origin, age, gender or disability.

The University of Georgia is committed to principles of equal opportunity and affirmative action.