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Baleage Production and Use
Introduction to Baleage

Baled silage or baleage is a technique used for conserving and storing forage. Baleage is forage that has been baled at a high moisture (40 – 60%), wrapped in plastic, and allowed to ensile. The process of ensiling involves storing the forage in anaerobic conditions (the absence of oxygen) and allowing microorganisms (mainly bacteria such as *Lactobacillus* spp.) to ferment the feed. The forage is stabilized by the lactic acid and other mild organic acids formed as a byproduct of the fermentation. These acids give the bales the sweet smell of silage and, more importantly, inhibit the growth of other microorganisms (mainly yeasts and mold and undesirable bacteria) that cause rot and deterioration. Fermentation has been used for millennia as a natural method for preserving food and forage. Similar bacterial fermentation occurs when one makes yogurt, sour cream, or pickles.

Baleage is usually of high nutritional value, highly palatable as a livestock feed, and an economical way of conserving forage. However, baleage production and use is not without risks and it is complicated. So, steps must be taken to ensure a high-quality, safe, and inexpensive product that can be sustainably used. The goal of this publication is to provide management recommendations that will help producers make, wrap, store, and feed high quality baleage economically and sustainably.

Advantages of Baleage

**Potential to Harvest Higher Quality and More Quantity**

Compared to conserving forage as dry hay, one of the most important advantages of baleage production is that the crop does not have to be completely dried down. Because baleage is prepared from moist forage, it is often cut one afternoon and baled and wrapped the next day. This greatly reduces the exposure to rain damage. It also has much less risk from leaf shatter losses. This reduced risk from weather damage between cutting and baling allows the producer to harvest the crop in a timelier fashion. In the late spring or in those years when rainfall occurs frequently, drying conditions and weather forecasts may be so poor that hay production is challenging if not impossible. Harvest delays result in lower quality and may result in less overall forage production. In a study in Florida, researchers found that a 25-acre field of ‘Tifton-85’ bermudagrass harvested as hay when hay-making conditions allowed and another 25-acre field of ‘Tifton-85’ harvested as baleage when weather conditions were not conducive to making hay resulted in bales that were 28% higher in crude protein (CP) and 6% higher in total digestible nutrients (TDN; Table 1). Additionally, the hay+baleage system resulted in over 50% more yield than the hay-only systems.

**Losses are Lower with Baleage Compared to Hay**

Losses during the curing, baling, storage, and feeding phases are also each substantially lower when the forage is conserved as baleage rather than under typical hay production (Table 2). The ensiling process uses up some of the carbohydrates in the forage. However, this loss is inconsequential relative to the substantial reductions in losses associated with making, storing, and feeding hay.

---

**Table 1.** Forage quantity and quality produced from two 25-acre fields, one managed for hay production only and the second harvested as baleage when weather conditions would not allow hay production.†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Hay</th>
<th>Hay+Baleage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cuttings</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bales</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Yield, lbs DM/acre</td>
<td>8,110</td>
<td>12,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Bale Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet weight, lbs</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>1,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry weight, lbs</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture, %</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>53.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP, %</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimates of total loss in Table 2 enable one to compare the costs associated with these losses in each of the systems. This table reinforces the concept that no hay storage or baleage system is cheap! But, the savings compared to dry hay adds to the economic sustainability of using baleage. Still, savings in forage loss may not be enough to make baleage economical. For more details on baleage economics, see the “Economics of Baleage” section.

### Table 2. The typical losses of dry matter associated with producing, storing, and feeding grass hay and baleage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Harvesting &amp; Baling</th>
<th>Storage</th>
<th>Feeding</th>
<th>Total Losses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hay, no cover/on ground</td>
<td>7-15%</td>
<td>20-40%</td>
<td>5-25%</td>
<td>30-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay, barn stored</td>
<td>7-15%</td>
<td>2-10%</td>
<td>5-15%</td>
<td>15-35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baleage</td>
<td>3-10%</td>
<td>3-10%</td>
<td>4-10%</td>
<td>10-25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Adapted from data from eight distinct studies performed in the US.

### Forage Quality Advantages of Baleage

Another major advantage to baleage is that it allows harvests to be very timely. A good example for this, particularly in relation to season, is the harvest of excess annual ryegrass in the spring in Georgia. It is frequently difficult for producers in Georgia to cut annual ryegrass at the proper maturity (early boot stage) because hay drying conditions are very poor at that time of year. Research at the NW Georgia Research and Education Center evaluated the potential of feeding ryegrass baleage to replacement heifers. Extra ryegrass acreage was cut, and a portion was harvested as baleage, while the remainder was dried and harvested as hay. The ryegrass baleage, ryegrass hay, and a good crop of ‘Russell’ bermudagrass harvested later in the year were compared for forage quality and weanling replacement heifers on each forage were evaluated for average daily gain (ADG). The results are summarized in Table 3.

### Table 3. The forage quality and average daily gain (ADG) of replacement heifers fed bermudagrass hay or ryegrass baleage or hay (unpublished data, Calhoun, GA. 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>TDN</th>
<th>RFQ</th>
<th>ADG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>(lbs/hd/d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermuda Hay</td>
<td>16.1 a†</td>
<td>62.9 b</td>
<td>116 c</td>
<td>1.56 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryegrass Baleage</td>
<td>16.3 a</td>
<td>65.9 a</td>
<td>174 a</td>
<td>1.94 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryegrass Hay</td>
<td>14.7 b</td>
<td>62.4 c</td>
<td>133 b</td>
<td>1.26 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Averages within a column with a different letter are different ($P < 0.10$). The heifers were provided no additional supplementation.

### Forages for Baleage

In general, any forage crop can be ensiled. But, not all forage crops ensile easily, nor do many of them warrant the added cost of ensiling. Choosing the correct forage species to ensile is key to profitably incorporating baleage into a livestock feeding system. There are three main considerations when deciding whether or not to ensile a forage: sugar content, nutritive value, and buffering capacity.

Generally, the easiest crops to produce as baleage are those with high sugar contents. The greater the concentrations of plant available sugars, the more fermentation and less spoilage will occur. This is why corn is a popular choice in traditional chopped silage. Some high sugar crops that are popular include annual ryegrass and forage sorghum varieties. Annual forages – whether warm or cool season – tend to make good baleage forages because their carbohydrate production goes towards vegetative growth rather than root stores. Popular cool season
species include: annual ryegrass and small grains such as oats and wheat; popular warm season annual forages include: crabgrass, sudangrass, forage sorghum, sorghum x sudangrass, and millet species.

The nutritive value of a forage is also key to the success of a baleage system. The ability to bale forage at a higher range of moisture and more independent of the weather is critical for harvesting at a earlier maturity, and therefore higher nutritive value. One should remember that ensiling a forage will not improve its quality, so it is important to make baleage out of high nutritive value crops only. Because baleage production involves higher production costs, and labor needs, it is important to make sure it is profitable by targeting its use on high nutritive value crops. Crops with high nutritive value are usually those that also have a high sugar content, which are easily fermented. However, these are not the only option. For example, alfalfa is another popular forage for baleage, but it has a lower sugar content than other forage options. Nonetheless, it can be successfully used for baleage. In fact, baleage technology is a transformational shift that makes alfalfa production in the South much more viable. Making hay out of alfalfa can be challenging, but making baleage reduces the risks, lower losses during curing, and reduces leaf shatter.

Finally, the buffering capacity of a forage should be considered. Buffering capacity is a measure of a material’s resistance to a pH change. So, a high buffering capacity means the pH changes less and more slowly, which determines how much fermentation will occur. Legume forage systems generally have a high buffering capacity. For example, the stable pH of alfalfa baleage will be much closer to 5.0, compared with the pH of grass baleage being closer to 3.8-4.0 when it reaches the stable phase.

**Overview of the Process of Fermentation**

Whether it is in an upright, bunker, pit, bag silo, or wrapped bale, the process of fermentation is very similar. Essentially, lactic acid forming bacteria that occur naturally on the surface of plant leaves undergo massive population buildsups once oxygen is excluded from their environment. They derive energy from the sugars that are inherent in plant cell sap and tissue via a fermentative process. They undergo many, many cycles of feeding and reproduction until their populations become so high that the waste of their fermentation processes leads to a buildup of acid. This is why silage has a low pH. The smell of silage is also the by-products of the fermentation process. Whether the forage is ensiled in a silo or in a wrapped bale, the fermentation that occurs is essentially the same process that happens on a smaller scale when a ruminant animal such as a cow, sheep, or goat ingests forage. This is one of the reasons this feed is such a natural fit for dairy, beef, sheep, and goat production. Essentially silage is “pre-ruminated” forage.

The fermentation process has five main phases that happen over a period of 10 days to six-weeks post-harvest and wrapping. Fermentation cannot begin until oxygen is excluded during wrapping, therefore it is important to wrap forage as quickly as possible after baling. These stages of fermentation are: the aerobic phase, lag phase, fermentation phase, stable phase, and feed-out/aerobic phase. The bacterial activity, pH, and fermentation products in each of the stages are unique and contribute to the level of fermentation that occurs in the forage. An overview of each of the stages of fermentation is below (Figure 1).

- **Aerobic Phase:** The aerobic phase lasts from the time forage is wrapped until oxygen is fully excluded from the baleage. This process can take anywhere from 12-24 hours to complete. During the aerobic phase, oxygen that was trapped in the bales is consumed by any aerobic bacteria or yeasts that may be present as they respire. As the oxygen is consumed, carbon dioxide and heat are produced. Because there is little to no organic acid during this stage, the pH remains at ~6, which is similar to the forage when harvested.

- **Lag Phase:** The lag phase lasts from approximately 24-72 hours post-wrapping. During this time, any remaining aerobic bacteria die off due to lack of oxygen. During this period, any anaerobic bacteria present begin to break down the carbohydrates and sugars from plant cells and produce volatile fatty acids (VFAs; e.g., lactic, acetic, and propionic acids). As these organic acids form, the pH of the baleage begins to drop. At the end of the lag phase, the pH will be near 5.
• **Fermentation Phase:** After the forage pH drops to near 5, the fermentation phase can begin. This phase lasts from the completion of the lag phase at day 3 or 4 until the forage reaches its terminal pH, anywhere between days 10 and 21 post-harvest. During the fermentation phase, the temperature will drop following the carbon dioxide and heat production in the aerobic phase. Additionally, the pH continues to drop as the population of lactic-acid forming bacteria (LAB) increase. The LAB are extremely tolerant to low pH environments, therefore as they use the plant sugars to produce more lactic acid, the pH continues to drop, and their populations increase. Throughout this phase, the lactic acid concentration of the baleage will eventually reach 3-6% dry matter. The LAB are not the only bacteria present. Heterofermentative bacteria in the forage are present and produce both lactic and acetic acid. The acetic acid producers also increase in number, but they do so at a more gradual rate and will never produce the same size populations as the LAB.

• **Stable Phase:** Once the forage reaches its terminal pH, which can range from 3.8-4.5 based on species differences (as discussed in the Forages for Baleage section above), the baleage is considered “stable.” This phase usually begins anywhere between 10- and 21-days post-harvest, although with good fermentation conditions and effective inoculation, it may occur sooner. The high bacterial populations seen in the fermentation phase will drop as the presence of plant sugars for consumption decreases. During this phase, the concentration of organic acids will remain at the levels seen towards the end of the fermentation phase. As long as the plastic is maintained and the baleage remains an anaerobic environment, the forage should remain stable for the length of storage.

• **Feed Out/Aerobic Phase:** This phase begins when the bales are opened for feeding, or oxygen is otherwise introduced into the system. Oxygen can be introduced when the integrity of the plastic is compromised, through tearing, punctures, or movement of bales. Once oxygen is introduced, aerobic bacteria and yeast that were in the baleage at wrapping and remained dormant will begin to reproduce and increase in number. As these yeasts and bacteria respire, they produce carbon dioxide, heat, and more yeast and bacteria. Increases in these populations will cause the pH of the baleage to increase, making it a good environment for mold growth as well and causing the bales to eventually spoil. For this reason, it is important to frequently check the plastic coverage to ensure baleage does not enter the aerobic phase prior to feeding. In some cases, aerobic stability can be improved with the use of heterofermentative inoculants and high acetic acid concentrations.

![Figure 1. The main stages of silage or baleage fermentation and their effects on oxygen, pH, and bacterial populations.](image)
Making Bales for Baleage

Balers for Baleage

Producers may be inclined to try harvesting forage for baleage using their traditional baler. This is not advisable. Baleage bales are much denser and heavier than traditional dry hay. A typical baleage bale ranges from 1100-1500 pounds. The high moisture and increased density of forage harvested as baleage necessitates the use of a baler specifically designed to make baleage bales. Silage balers are designed to hold up to wear and tear from baleage production better than a traditional hay baler. Such silage balers have heavier bearings, stronger weight-bearing beams/rollers, and scrapers that prevent the waxy and gummy material exuded by the forage from building up on the moving parts of the baler.

Some manufacturers now offer balers that have pre-cutting systems. A pre-cutting system is especially useful in dairy operations or other systems that grind bales for use in mixed rations or feed animals in troughs or bunkers. Pre-cutting forage before baling can lead to faster dry matter intake by the animal because smaller particle sizes are chewed less before swallowing. Faster dry matter intakes ultimately lead to increased dry matter intake and improved animal performance. Decreasing the time animals spend chewing the forage also decreases the amount of forage that is dropped, trampled, and wasted.

Pre-cutting systems can be advantageous even for operations that do not utilize a total mixed ration (TMR). The baler pre-cutting systems are designed with a system of knives within the baling chamber. As the forage is picked up and enters the throat of the baler, it is dragged across the knife system to “shred” the forage and cut it into smaller particle sizes. Utilizing a pre-cutting system creates denser baleage, which reduces oxygen within the bale and may improve fermentation characteristics. However, increased fermentation may come at a cost, as these systems are costlier, require greater horsepower, and may reduce baling efficiency.

Cut down no more than you can handle.

One of the most important management decisions in making baleage is to cut down only what can be baled, hauled, and wrapped in one workday. Frankly, this is the one mistake that is most often made whenever a producer changes from making hay to making baleage. One must realize that the bale wrapping procedure is the rate-limiting step, or “bottleneck”, in the whole process. A key consideration is that bales need to be wrapped as soon as possible after baling. The ideal would be immediately after baling, but in practical terms, the goal should be that all bales are wrapped within 4 hours of baling. Bales that go longer than 12 hours between baling and wrapping suffer significant respiration losses, are often heat damaged, and frequently are so deformed or “squatty” that they cannot be wrapped easily or effectively.

So, one should work backwards from the wrapping step. The amount to be cut must equal that which can be baled, hauled, and wrapped in one afternoon. One must also factor in how much time will be needed to wilt the crop from the moisture it contains standing in the field (~75-90% moisture) to the target moisture at the start of baling (55-65%).

Bale at the right moisture

Moisture is crucial for success with baled silage. The target moisture for baleage should be 45-60% moisture (equal to 40-55% dry matter). Having the moisture in this range will enable fermentation, assuming oxygen is excluded by the plastic. When baled and ensiled at the right moisture, lactic acid forming bacteria drop the pH and stabilize the forage as long as anaerobic (low oxygen) conditions are sustained. If the moisture is below 45% moisture, fermentation will likely be poor and there is a higher risk of spoilage. When too dry, the lactic acid forming bacteria are slow to drop the pH. In those conditions, the bacterium responsible for causing listeriosis, Listeria monocytogenes, can proliferate. If the forage is wetter than 60%, there is an increased risk of activity by clostridium bacteria. Clostridial fermentation results in butyric acid, which gives silage a putrid smell. Clostridial fermentation can also result in botulism poisoning in the animals forced to consume the forage. Baling at the right moisture, along with inoculating the forage with beneficial lactic acid-forming bacteria, can ensure that the forage becomes properly ensiled and free of toxins.
MAKE GOOD BALES

Dense and uniform bales greatly improve the conditions for proper fermentation. Densely packed bales enable the populations of desirable bacteria to build quickly and drop the pH faster. Dense bales also economize space in the storage area. Bale uniformity is important. When wrapped with the stretch film plastic, bales with uniformly square edges have more consistent plastic coverage and, therefore, minimize oxygen intrusion (Fig. 2). Bales with uniformly square edges also align well with one another, which is especially important when wrapping with an inline wrapper. Irregularity between bales can cause oxygen intrusion where the bales adjoin one another. It is also a good idea to use net wrap when baling baleage bales. Net wrap prevents deformation or “squatting” of the bales. This maintains the integrity of the plastic, preventing oxygen influx. Avoid using sisal twine that has been treated. Oils and pesticides applied during the manufacturing of sisal twine can leach through the plastic and breakdown the UV-inhibitor. This can result in plastic failure and oxygen intrusion into the bale.

Another key consideration is to ensure that the size of the bales is appropriate for the size of the equipment used to transport and wrap the bales. Baleage bales will be roughly twice the weight of a hay bale of the equivalent size. Most producers find that a 4’ x 5’ bale is the most efficient size for their equipment, as these generally will be around 1100-1500 lbs.

Wrapping Bales

Since bale wrapping is the bottleneck in production efficiency, choosing the right bale wrapper is critical. Of course, the cost of the wrapper is an important consideration. The old axiom of “you get what you pay for” is certainly true when buying bale wrappers. There are two basic styles of bale wrappers: individual and inline (Fig. 3). Individual bale wrappers tend to be less expensive, but a well-trained operator can usually only wrap 20 bales per hour compared to 40+ per hour with an inline wrapper. Newer combination baler-wrappers can bale and wrap forage in a single pass, alleviating the need for additional labor and equipment. However, this equipment comes with a significantly higher price tag than other wrapping options.

Whether the wrapper is an inline or individual wrapper also determines how each bale is wrapped. An inline wrapper system uses two rolls of polyethylene plastic simultaneously, while an individual wrapper usually uses a single roll. For an inline system, the bales are loaded onto the wrapper (Figure 3; right) and a push bar hydraulically feeds the bale through the wrapper. As the bale moves through the chamber, two plastic rolls rotate around the bale, with approximately 10-20% overlap as the next bale is loaded. Wrapped bales will stay in the same location until the tube is opened for feeding. When wrapping bales individually (Figure 3; left), the bales are loaded onto the wrapper one at a time. Typically, the bale rotates in two directions while the plastic stays stationary. Once the bale is individually wrapped, they can be moved into the position where they will be stored by strategically dumping the bale off the platform onto the ground and/or using a bale squeeze attachment on another tractor.

In additional point worth noting is that individual bale wrappers apply 40-60% more plastic to each bale than do the inline wrappers. This drives up costs and increases the waste associated with the process. Even so, individual bale wrappers are best if there are plans to sell individual bales or if one expects to do custom work for several small farms within several miles of the home location. Individually wrapped bales can also be fed without exposing other bales to oxygen, which minimizes deterioration. So, for producers who plan only to feed one or two bales every few days, an individually wrapped bale may be more appropriate. In general, producers who have the scale of operation to justify producing baleage will likely find that the inline bale wrappers will be the best choice over the long run.
Figure 3. Examples of two basic categories of baleage wrappers: an individual bale wrapper on a trailer platform (left) and an in-line bale wrapper (right).

**Plastic Coverage**

Applying the correct wrap to the bales is important to make sure that the bales exclude oxygen and prevent plastic degradation that leads to spoilage during storage. The plastic used for wrapping baleage bales is a polyethylene plastic film that can withstand UV radiation and changes in ambient temperature. Although the polyethylene plastic is not completely impermeable to air, it is four times more permeable to carbon dioxide than to oxygen, meaning that bales can vent excess carbon dioxide as fermentation occurs.

Stretch-wrap plastic usually comes in 20 or 30 in. rolls which are 5,000 or 6,000 feet in length and one mil thick. The tear strength and amount of tack or “stickiness” can vary between brands of wrap. Additionally, it is important to consider the color of the plastic. In the Southeast, white plastic wrap is more common than black wrap to prevent excess heating of the bales. Other areas may have green or even pink silage plastic. Most farm supply stores either stock or can obtain the polyethylene plastic wrap needed for baleage, but it is likely that the most economical approach would be to purchase in bulk (e.g., a pallet load at a time, etc.) directly from a dealer.

It is important to ensure that enough plastic is placed around the bales to exclude oxygen and allow for fermentation. However, too much plastic will drastically increase the cost of producing baled silage. For in-line bale wrappers, a minimum of 6 – 8 layers of plastic needs to be applied. If the bale wrapper design allows, it is recommended to apply up to 10 – 12 layers at the joints where two bales abut one another. This provides for extra protection against strain on the plastic at these joints resulting from poor bale uniformity or unequal deformation among bales. For individual bales, at least 6 layers of plastic (2 layers made on the first pass, and 2 additional layers on two additional passes) are necessary. If the bales are expected to be stored for a long period of time (> 8 months), then 2 more layers of plastic may be desirable. Research has shown that slightly less plastic is needed for shorter term storage, but it is wise to be conservative with plastic coverage.

The failure to apply enough plastic can cause unstable silage and reduce animal acceptance of the forage. Baleage that has been properly formed can be very palatable and can, in fact, be more acceptable to the animals than alfalfa hay of similar quality (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Animal acceptance of baleage prepared with varying levels of plastic coverage relative to hay of comparable quality.
Ensure that the plastic is being pre-stretched according to the manufacturer’s instructions (usually 50-70% stretch) and that it is being applied with the tacky side toward the bale. The stretching along with the wrap’s adhesive ensures a sufficient seal. Accumulation of adhesive and grime can adversely affect the bale wrapper’s plastic roll applicator and increase the tension and stretch applied to the plastic. Periodically clean the plastic roll applicator following the bale wrapper’s instructions. To test the amount of stretch being applied to the plastic, make two marks on the plastic roll that are exactly one inch apart in line with the direction that the stretch is to occur. After the plastic is applied to the bale, measure the distance between the marks again. The distance should be 1.5-1.7 inches.

**Storage Considerations**

Another key consideration is in the selection of an appropriate site for storage. It is best to wrap the bales where they will be stored, even if the bales are individually wrapped. Excessive handling of the bales after wrapping can compromise the integrity of the plastic wrap and introduce oxygen to the forage. It is also critical for the bales to be wrapped within 12-hrs of being baled. This prevents excessive heating and aerobic deterioration of the material, as well as minimizing bale deformations that can cause wrapping challenges.

When choosing a site, the proximity to the field and to the site where the forage will be fed are equally important to consider. It is best to place the bales on a solid sod or along a firm roadbed so that adverse conditions during feed-out will cause minimal damage or soil disturbance (Figure 5). Bales should also be placed in an area so as to protect them from punctures. Avoid areas with stubs, exposed roots, or rocks. Groundhogs, birds, and other vermin will sometimes damage bales. By storing baleage in an open area and at least 10 ft from a fence-line, field borders, or other areas of shelter for wildlife, the bales will be less prone to damage from these pests.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** A good example of a well-chosen and maintained baleage storage site (left). It is important to select a storage site for baleage bales that is free of items that might poke holes in the plastic and to maintain the integrity of the plastic during storage. Minimize plant growth around the wrapped bales, as this often attracts birds, mice, or other vermin that might damage the plastic. Repair any holes that form by applying two layers of silage tape in a crossing (+) pattern to ensure adequate oxygen exclusion (right).

Even taking all precautions, tears or punctures in the plastic can occur. Frequently scouting wrapped bales will help producers quickly find any holes or tears, so they can quickly be repaired and exposure to oxygen is minimized. When patching holes, it is important to use silage tape only (as opposed to duct tape, for example). Silage tape has a UV inhibitor and will not deteriorate in the sun. When patching holes, it is important to remember the “rule of thumb” about baleage coverage: “two layers is one, and one is none.” To patch the hole, tape should be placed in a crossing (+) pattern and the edges should be smoothed so they are flush to the plastic wrap (Figure 5). Steps should be taken to minimize areas that water or air could permeate.

In cases where there is severe damage to plastic, simply taping holes may not be enough. If bales are individually wrapped, it may be advisable to rewrap the bales. However, this becomes more challenging if bales
were wrapped using an inline wrapper. In this case, it may be more practical to feed these bales sooner, rather than rewrapping.

**Feeding Baleage**

Feeding makes an excellent feed for ruminant livestock. However, feeding baleage is much different than feeding hay. Because baleage is much wetter than hay, it is much more susceptible to deterioration. Once the ensiled forage is exposed to air at feeding, it can deteriorate quickly. There are a few management tips and tricks that can help producers feed baleage efficiently and prevent spoilage losses.

**THE SMELL TEST**

The fermentation process results in mild organic acids which stabilize the forage but also give off a silage smell. Good silage is characterized by being high in lactic and propionic acids. Lactic acid generally has minimal smell, but propionic smells sweet. Silage will sometimes have a slight sour or vinegary smell. Such silage has more acetic acid, which is the same organic acid found in vinegar. Though silage that has a slight vinegary smell may be slightly less palatable to the livestock, it generally is safe to feed.

Baleage bales that have a foul, rancid, or putrid smell to them are indicative of very poor fermentation. Such material may have even undergone a secondary fermentation, where the lactic acid formed early has been decomposed and butyric acid is formed. In extreme cases where the crop was excessively wet or the silage wrap failed to exclude oxygen, this secondary fermentation can result in botulism poisoning if the forage is fed to livestock. This is rare, but it can occur. So, be sure that the baleage that is fed passes the smell test. If it smells bad, test it for poor fermentation or botulism risk before feeding it!

**MOLD**

It is not unusual to observe some mold growth on baleage bales. Once the plastic has been removed, one may find molds of various colors on the exterior of the bale. One of the most common is white mold (Fig. 7). White mold is usually associated with baleage bales that were baled too dry to ferment well, but it can occur even if baled at the proper moisture level. It often grows on the flat sides of the bale or just under small holes in the plastic. White mold is a harmless yeast, usually in the *Mucor* or *Monila* genus. The mold spores for these species are too large to cause respiratory issues, and they produce no known mycotoxins. Livestock often will push this moldy material out of the way or consume it.

Molds that are green, blue, yellow, or red are indicative of a problem. A moldy patch that is red or red with a white edge is likely to be *Monascus ruber*, while yellow/green mold is *Aspergillus fumigatus*, and a patch of blue/green is *Penicillium roqueforti*. Baleage bales with any of these three mold colors present should not be fed to livestock as there is a risk of mycotoxins that may cause performance issues or, in some cases, animal death. The blue/green *P. roqueforti* is especially problematic because it produces several harmful mycotoxins.
**FEED IT FAST**

Once the plastic has been removed, it is important to minimize the time between when the bale is exposed to oxygen and when the baleage is consumed. The major issue with feeding baleage is that the product is not stable. An analogy using our eating habits would be potato salad. It should not sit out very long before we eat it, otherwise it will spoil. This is especially true at a summer picnics where temperatures can speed the deterioration. But, this can also occur in the wintertime, even though it may take longer. In either case, it is not worth the chance of eating it if it has been out for very long.

As a “rule of thumb,” never leave baleage exposed to the air for more than two days during feeding. If the daytime temperature exceeds 60°F, do not leave it exposed more than one day. This rule of thumb is especially important for producers who use an in-line bale wrapper, since this determines the feed-out rate. If one has made baleage using an in-line bale wrapper, they must be feeding enough animals that they can feed at least one bale per day in the winter. This is because as a bale is fed, the next bale is being exposed to air. Individually wrapped bales are usually not subject to exposure before they are fed, and thus the feeding schedule is somewhat more flexible. The following are some additional “rules of thumb” on how to feed baleage or, in some cases, what not to do:

- Ensure that the storage site doesn’t increase the chances of exposure to air. Some storage sites increase the likelihood of punctures to the plastic wrap. Examples would be areas near trees that have dropped limbs, rodent and other varmint dens, or that are freshly mowed and have coarse weed stubble. Many of these may create punctures that go unnoticed until it is too late.
- Ensure that the forage is between 45-60% moisture before it is baling. Baling when the crop is too dry is the most common problem because a field may start out at the right moisture and end up being too dry. Dry forage doesn’t provide the bacteria enough moisture to allow sufficient fermentation, but it does allow microbes to grow during storage and feeding which can cause deterioration. Baling too wet is less common. However, high moisture silage spoils quickly when exposed to air. Take care to avoid excessive moisture (e.g., little or no wilt before baling, etc.) as this may lead to clostridial spoilage or botulism.
- Don’t spear into bales after they have been wrapped. Bale squeeze carriers or handlers are better, but may still stretch, tear, or puncture bales. Any hole in the plastic barrier can lead to small areas or even entire bales that deteriorate.
- To feed a bale that has been wrapped using an in-line wrapper, simply spear the bale, lift, and pull away. The plastic between it and the next bale will usually tear away (Figure 8). Then cut over the top and peel the plastic off in one piece. To feed an individually wrapped bale, cut a large X in the end to be speared, pull back the flaps, spear the bale, lift, and cut across the top and down the other flat side to peel the plastic off in one piece. In both cases, the netwrap or twine should then be removed before feeding the bale.
- Wastage and refusal are rarely an issue when feeding baleage, unless a bale is being fed to too few animals. If baleage remains when the time frame for feeding has been exceeded, put out a fresh bale. Forcing animals to eat waste or refused baleage may force them to eat deteriorated material and can lead to poor performance or animal health issues. Bale size, which can usually be adjusted on the baler, should be determined during the growing season by considering the number of animals and the feed out rate that will be needed during the feeding period.
- The ensiling process usually completes within 3-6 weeks, depending on a large number of factors. At essentially any point, the forage can be fed, but this should only be done in an emergency situation. The partially ensiled product will heat excessively and spoil very quickly. Bales wrapped with an in-line bale wrapper should not be fed until at least 4-6 weeks after wrapping, unless the plan is to feed the whole line of bales in just a few days.

![Figure 8. Plastic at the joints between baleage bales usually splits easily when a bale is lifted and hauled away.](image)
Handling the Plastic

An often-overlooked issue with baleage production is the volume of waste plastic that can be produced. Many folks become frustrated or disgusted with baleage because they end up with waste plastic all over the farm if they failed to have a plan to deal with the it. Therefore, it is recommended that a producer devise a routine for collecting the plastic as soon as it is removed from the bale. Compressing the material into a barrel, crate, or box will be helpful in reducing the bulk of the plastic. While there are some limited recycling options for this waste plastic, tractor-trailer load quantities of waste plastic are required to make collection and recycling of this plastic cost-effective currently. Thus, most producers will find the most economical option would be landflling. Burning the plastic is illegal, not safe, may have adverse effects on the environment, and leaves a residue that is difficult to clean up. Thus, burning should be avoided.

Economics of Baleage

There certainly are a number of benefits to utilizing baleage as a system for conserving forage. However, it is important to recognize that baleage is NOT appropriate for everyone. The costs associated with baleage can be quite substantial, and a certain amount of scale is necessary for one to make the system cost-effective. Adopting baleage as a production practice should only be done after a thorough economic analysis has shown it to be cost-effective and practical on that specific farm operation.

It is important to consider the major costs. The predominant costs to the system are the bale wrapper (generally $18,000 – 40,000), plastic wrap (usually $5 – 10 per ton of DM), and added labor can make this system quite costly. Additionally, the added weight and other mechanical challenges associated with baling high moisture forage crops requires balers that are capable of making baleage bales. Furthermore, there is an environmental cost for disposal of the plastic. However, the advantage of timely harvest, higher quality, and more palatable forage makes baleage an important tool for livestock producers, and those who consider baleage must weigh the advantages against the added costs. Using high quality baleage may be used as an alternative for producers who provide expensive supplementation in addition to low quality hay. Generally speaking, if producers have a herd of at least 50 head of beef cows, for example, and are planning to use baleage to preserve high value forages (e.g. alfalfa, annual ryegrass, or summer annuals) rather than moderate quality perennial species (e.g. bermudagrass) a baleage system should be at least break even or profitable if existing baling equipment can be used or the work can be custom-hired. Purchase of new baling equipment or making baleage solely from moderate to low quality forages (e.g., bermudagrass, bahiagrass, tall fescue, etc.) may necessitate twice as many livestock to make the use of baleage economical.

Some producers will examine the costs and potential savings and find that baleage is unlikely to pay for itself on their farm. A certain scale is necessary to make baleage economical. Yet, there are many areas where there would be a market for custom hire bale wrapping services. Many producers have found that they could make a return on their investment by hiring out their equipment and/or themselves to wrap bales for their neighbors. For some, this has been found to be a very profitable enterprise for their farm operation. So, one should assess the economic opportunities that are available on the home farm and beyond.

Final Thoughts

Implementing a baleage system takes consideration and planning. Producers need to weigh the benefits, challenges, and costs to optimize their forage production and livestock feeding operations. Baleage does have additional costs associated with it – including a wrapper, plastic, and plastic disposal. It also takes different management strategies to store and feed baleage to prevent spoilage when compared with traditional dry hay. Despite some additional management challenges, baleage can be very beneficial for many producers. It has higher forage quality when compared to dry hay harvested under the same conditions and is highly palatable to livestock. Finally, utilizing baleage as a forage harvesting tool can reduce risks of forage loss due to weather and promoting forage harvest on a timely harvest interval.